

ECRI REPORT ON THE UNITED KINGDOM

(fifth monitoring cycle)

Adopted on 29 June 2016

Published on 4 October 2016

COUNCIL OF EUROPE



CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

ECRI Secretariat
Directorate General II - Democracy
Council of Europe
F-67075 STRASBOURG Cedex
Tel.: + 33 (0) 3 90 21 46 62
E-mail: ecri@coe.int

www.coe.int/ecri

**ECRI REPORT
ON THE UNITED KINGDOM
(fifth monitoring cycle)**

Adopted on 29 June 2016

Published on 4 October 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD	7
SUMMARY	9
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11
I. COMMON TOPICS	11
1. LEGISLATION AGAINST RACISM AND RACIAL DISCRIMINATION	11
- PROTOCOL NO. 12 TO THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS.....	11
- EXISTENCE OF CRIMINAL, CIVIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW PROVISIONS AS PER GENERAL POLICY RECOMMENDATION (GPR) NO. 7	11
- CRIMINAL LAW	11
- <i>ENGLAND AND WALES</i>	11
- <i>SCOTLAND</i>	12
- <i>NORTHERN IRELAND</i>	13
- CIVIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	13
- <i>GREAT BRITAIN</i>	13
- <i>NORTHERN IRELAND</i>	14
- NATIONAL SPECIALISED BODIES.....	15
- <i>GREAT BRITAIN</i>	15
- <i>NORTHERN IRELAND</i>	15
2. HATE SPEECH	16
- EXTENT OF THE PROBLEM.....	16
- HATE SPEECH IN POLITICAL DISCOURSE	16
- HATE SPEECH IN TRADITIONAL MEDIA AND ON THE INTERNET.....	18
- RESPONSE OF THE AUTHORITIES	19
3. RACIST AND HOMO/TRANSPHOBIC VIOLENCE	23
- DATA.....	23
- THE AUTHORITIES' RESPONSE	25
4. INTEGRATION POLICIES	26
- " <i>BLACK AND MINORITY ETHNIC</i> " COMMUNITIES	26
- <i>ROMA, GYPSIES AND TRAVELLERS</i>	30
- <i>REFUGEES</i>	34
- <i>OTHER NON-CITIZENS</i>	35
II. TOPICS SPECIFIC TO THE UNITED KINGDOM	36
1. INTERIM FOLLOW-UP RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE FOURTH CYCLE	36
2. EFFECTIVENESS OF THE EQUALITY AND HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION.....	38
3. POLICIES TO COMBAT DISCRIMINATION AND INTOLERANCE VIS-A-VIS LGBT ...	39
- DATA.....	39
- LEGISLATIVE ISSUES.....	39
- PROMOTING TOLERANCE AND COMBATING DISCRIMINATION.....	40
INTERIM FOLLOW-UP RECOMMENDATIONS	43
LIST OF RECOMMENDATIONS	45
BIBLIOGRAPHY	49
APPENDIX: GOVERNMENT'S VIEWPOINT	57

FOREWORD

The European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI), established by the Council of Europe, is an independent human rights monitoring body specialised in questions relating to racism and intolerance. It is composed of independent and impartial members appointed on the basis of their moral authority and recognised expertise in dealing with racism, xenophobia, antisemitism and intolerance.

In the framework of its statutory activities, ECRI conducts country monitoring work, which analyses the situation in each of the member States regarding racism and intolerance and draws up suggestions and proposals for dealing with the problems identified.

ECRI's country monitoring deals with all member States of the Council of Europe on an equal footing. The work takes place in 5-year cycles, covering 9-10 countries per year. The reports of the first round were completed at the end of 1998, those of the second round at the end of 2002, those of the third round at the end of 2007, and those of the fourth round in the beginning of 2014. Work on the fifth round reports started in November 2012.

The working methods for the preparation of the reports involve documentary analyses, a visit to the country concerned, and then a confidential dialogue with the national authorities.

ECRI's reports are not the result of inquiries or testimonial evidence. They are analyses based on a great deal of information gathered from a wide variety of sources. Documentary studies are based on a large number of national and international written sources. The in situ visit provides the opportunity to meet with the parties directly concerned (both governmental and non-governmental) with a view to gathering detailed information. The process of confidential dialogue with the national authorities allows the latter to provide, if they consider it necessary, comments on the draft report, with a view to correcting any possible factual errors which the report might contain. At the end of the dialogue, the national authorities may request, if they so wish, that their viewpoints be appended to the final ECRI report.

The fifth round country-by-country reports focus on four topics common to all member States: (1) Legislative issues, (2) Hate speech, (3) Violence, (4) Integration policies and a number of topics specific to each one of them. The fourth-cycle interim recommendations not implemented or partially implemented during the fourth monitoring cycle will be followed up in this connection.

In the framework of the fifth cycle, priority implementation is requested again for two specific recommendations chosen from those made in the report. A process of interim follow-up for these two recommendations will be conducted by ECRI no later than two years following the publication of this report.

The following report was drawn up by ECRI under its own responsibility. It covers the situation up to 17 March 2016; developments since that date are neither covered in the following analysis nor taken into account in the conclusions and proposals therein.

SUMMARY

Since the adoption of ECRI's fourth report on the United Kingdom on 17 December 2009, progress has been made in a number of fields.

The United Kingdom has generally strong legislation against racism and racial discrimination. The Equality Act 2010 came into force for Great Britain in October 2010, consolidating and replacing previous discrimination laws and providing a single legal framework to tackle disadvantage and discrimination. The Equality and Human Rights Commission (Great Britain) and the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland are in line with ECRI's recommendations in its General Policy Recommendations Nos. 2 and 7.

The Home Office is continuing to implement an improved data collection system, the Data Hub, to streamline the submission of data by police in order to aggregate figures on the levels and trends of crime in England and Wales. In May 2015 the Government updated its Policy Paper on Crime Prevention with a hate crime action plan, Challenge it, Report it, Stop it, aiming at preventing hate crime, increasing the reporting of hate crime, and improving the criminal justice response to it. The police have developed their own National Policing Hate Crime Strategy in 2014. Steps have been taken to address the serious problem of under-reporting, such as third-party reporting and data sharing schemes.

A new policy 2020 Vision has been developed to improve opportunity for people from "Black and minority ethnic" communities. Substantial efforts have been made to promote LGBT rights resulting in a significant attitude change towards LGBT persons in the United Kingdom in recent years.

ECRI welcomes these positive developments in the United Kingdom. However, despite the progress achieved, some issues give rise to concern.

In Northern Ireland, there is still no equality act covering all equality grounds. Discrimination is not prohibited on grounds of gender identity and same sex couples cannot marry, unlike in Great Britain. The Equality and Human Rights Commission does not collect data on or monitor the application of the Equality Act 2010. Fees have been introduced for claims made to employment tribunals or the Employment Appeals Tribunal, with higher fees in discrimination cases than other types of claim.

The specific incitement to hatred provisions are almost never applied. The significant difference between hate crime recorded by the police and offences referred for prosecution indicate that a large amount of hate crime goes unpunished. There is no data available on the application of enhanced sentencing and the racially-motivated aspects of cases are often filtered out by the police, Crown Prosecution Service or judiciary or dropped through the process of accepting guilty pleas.

There continues to be considerable intolerant political discourse focusing on immigration and contributing to an increase in xenophobic sentiments. Muslims are portrayed in a negative light by certain politicians and as a result of some policies. Their alleged lack of integration and opposition to "fundamental British values" is a common theme adding to a climate of mistrust and fear of Muslims. The counter-terrorism strategy, Prevent, may fuel discrimination against Muslims.

Hate speech in some traditional media, particularly tabloid newspapers, continues to be a problem, with biased or ill-founded information disseminated about vulnerable groups, which may contribute to perpetuating stereotypes. An independent press regulator has not been set up. A particularly high number of violent racist incidents occurred in 2013 with a sharp rise in anti-Muslim violence. Antisemitic incidents

reached the highest level ever recorded in 2014. Intimidation, harassment and violence may be an everyday reality for some LGBT people. LGBT pupils experience severe bullying in school and are not always supported by teachers.

Black and minority ethnic teachers remain under-represented in the workforce and there is still a long way to go in addressing under-representation of these groups in the police. The United Kingdom does not have a national Roma integration strategy. Lack of site provision continues to be a pressing issue for Gypsies and Travellers. In August 2015 a new Planning Policy for Traveller Sites in England was issued, substantially limiting the planning definition of Gypsy and Traveller. There is currently no integration strategy for refugees in England or Northern Ireland and refugees often live in poverty and social exclusion.

In this report, ECRI requests that the authorities take action in a number of areas; in this context, it makes a series of recommendations, including the following.

The authorities of Northern Ireland should consolidate equality legislation into a single, comprehensive equality act, taking inspiration from the Equality Act 2010, and taking account of the recommendations of the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland, as well as ECRI's recommendations in § 19 of this report.*The provisions on incitement to hatred should be reviewed with a view to making them more effective and usable. The authorities should follow the advice of the Law Commission and review the operation of aggravated offences with a view to including the grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity. They should also include gender identity as a ground in the "stirring up hatred" offences.

Data should be gathered on the application of enhanced sentencing, as well as on where aggravated offences and enhanced sentencing have been invoked and then dropped through the process of accepting guilty pleas. The legal aid and fees regime should be reviewed with a view to improving access to justice in discrimination in employment cases. Data should be collected on the application of the Equality Act 2010, from the filing of a complaint to the final outcome.* An independent press regulator should be established according to the recommendations set out in the Leveson Report.

The authorities should find concrete ways to achieve their 2020 Vision goals in education and employment and set similar goals in health care. They should establish a real dialogue with Muslims in order to combat Islamophobia and consult them on all policies which could affect Muslims. The new planning definition in England of Gypsy and Traveller should be replaced with the previous one of 2012, sufficient pitches should be provided according to the needs of these communities and alternatives to eviction, such as the negotiated stopping policy in the city of Leeds, should be promoted and replicated elsewhere. The authorities should draw up, in consultation with Gypsy, Traveller and Roma groups, a detailed programme of integration measures to address the disadvantage suffered by all three of these communities in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.

A refugee integration strategy should be developed in England and Northern Ireland. Further efforts are encouraged to address bullying of LGBT pupils in schools, with special attention given to teacher training.

* A process of interim follow-up for the recommendations in this paragraph will be conducted by ECRI no later than two years following the publication of this report.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. Common topics

1. Legislation against racism and racial discrimination¹

- Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights

1. The United Kingdom has still not signed and ratified Protocol No. 12 and the authorities have indicated that there are no plans to do so.

2. ECRI reiterates its previous recommendations to sign and ratify Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights.

- Existence of criminal, civil and administrative law provisions as per General Policy Recommendation (GPR) No. 7

3. ECRI is pleased to note that the United Kingdom has generally strong legislation against racism and racial discrimination. The following analysis focuses on the lacunae.

- Criminal law

- *England and Wales*

4. Section 18 of the Public Order Act 1986 states that “a person who uses threatening, abusive, or insulting words or behaviour, or displays any written material which is threatening, abusive, or insulting, is guilty of an offence if: a) he intends to thereby stir up racial hatred, or b) having regard to all the circumstances racial hatred is likely to be stirred up thereby”.² Racial hatred is defined as hatred against a group of persons by reference to “colour, race, nationality (including citizenship), or ethnic or national origins”. This offence was extended under section 29B of the Public Order Act 1986 to cover stirring up religious hatred, but only in respect of the use of threatening (but not abusive or insulting) words or behaviour or displaying threatening (but not abusive or insulting) written material. Religious hatred is defined as hatred against a group of persons by reference to religious belief or lack of religious belief. The penalty is a prison term of six months to seven years or a fine or both.

5. ECRI points out that its GPR 7 § 18 a, b and c calls for separate offences (public incitement to violence, hatred or discrimination; public insults and defamation; and threats). The above-mentioned provision combines threats and insults in the offence of incitement. Moreover, the elements of public incitement to violence and discrimination are missing³ as well as defamation. As for the grounds, only language is lacking. ECRI also regrets that the grounds of race and religion are not treated in an identical manner.

6. ECRI further notes that there are no criminal law provisions against the following acts: the public expression, with a racist aim, of an ideology which claims the

¹ According to ECRI's General Policy Recommendation No. 7, “racism” shall mean the belief that a ground such as “race”, colour, language, religion, nationality or national or ethnic origin justifies contempt for a person or a group of persons, or the notion of superiority of a person or a group of persons. “Racial discrimination” shall mean any differential treatment based on a ground such as “race”, colour, language, religion, nationality or national or ethnic origin, which has no objective and reasonable justification.

² In addition to this offence, the so-called “stirring up” or incitement offences also include: Public Order Act 1986 section 19 (Publishing or distributing written material); section 20 (Public performance of play); section 21 (Distributing, showing or playing a recording); section 22 (Broadcasting or including programme in cable programme service); section 23 (Possession of racially inflammatory material).

³ According to the Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of Council Framework Decision 2008/913/JHA on combating certain forms and expressions of racism and xenophobia by means of criminal law, while United Kingdom legislation expressly mentions only public incitement to hatred, the United Kingdom considers the concept of violence to be effectively covered by the term hatred.

superiority or which depreciates or denigrates a group of persons on the grounds of their race, colour, language, religion, nationality or national or ethnic origin, as per GPR 7 § 18 d; the public denial, trivialisation, justification or condoning, with a racist aim, of crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity or war crimes, as per GPR 7 § 18 e;⁴ the production or storage aimed at public dissemination or distribution, of written, pictorial or other material containing manifestations covered by § 18 a, b, c, d and e, as called for in GPR 7 § 18 f; the creation or leadership of a group which promotes racism, support for such a group or participation in its activities, as called for in GPR 7 § 18 g. There is also no criminal offence of racial discrimination in the exercise of one's public office or occupation, as per GPR 7 § 18 h.

7. As concerns aggravating circumstances, ECRI notes a dual system. Firstly, the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (sections 29-32) sets out specific racially aggravated offences defined by reference to "race, colour, nationality (including citizenship) or ethnic or national origins", as well as offences motivated by religious hostility. The label "racially or religiously aggravated" forms part of the offence. These offences fall into four categories: assault, criminal damage, public order offences and harassment. Secondly, under section 145 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003, if an offence other than the above-mentioned specific racially or religiously aggravated offences was motivated by racial or religious hostility, the court must treat that fact as an aggravating factor. Enhanced sentencing requires the judge to declare in open court that the sentence has been increased because such hostility has made the offence more serious. ECRI is pleased to note, therefore, that racist motivation constitutes an aggravating circumstance for all criminal offences, in line with GPR 7 § 21.

8. ECRI recommends amending the criminal law to add the ground of language to the existing provisions and to include the following offences committed on grounds of race, colour, language, religion, nationality, or national or ethnic origin: public incitement to violence and discrimination; public insults and defamation; threats; the public expression with a racist aim of an ideology which claims the superiority or which depreciates or denigrates a group of persons; the public denial, trivialisation, justification or condoning, with a racist aim, of crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity or war crimes; the production or storage aimed at public dissemination or distribution, of written, pictorial or other material containing manifestations covered by GPR 7 § 18 a, b, c, d and e; the creation or leadership of a group which promotes racism, support for such a group or participation in its activities; and racial discrimination in the exercise of one's public office or occupation.

- *Scotland*

9. ECRI notes that the above-mentioned Public Order Act 1986 and the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 also apply in Scotland. In addition, section 33 of the latter introduced, in Scotland, the offence of racially-aggravated harassment, defined as causing or intending to cause a person alarm or distress and involving malice or ill-will based on a person's membership, or presumed membership, of a "racial group".⁵ The same gaps exist in Scotland as identified in England and Wales, and ECRI's recommendation in § 8 is addressed also to the authorities of Scotland.

⁴ According to the above-mentioned European Commission report, the United Kingdom has submitted sentencing rulings for trivialisation, condoning and denial of the Holocaust, based on criminal law provisions punishing respectively incitement, ethnic agitation or stirring up of hatred.

⁵ Since all human beings belong to the same species, ECRI rejects theories based on the existence of different "races".

10. Racist motivation as an aggravating circumstance is covered by the Criminal Justice (Scotland) Act 2003 (section 74 on offences aggravated by religious prejudice) and the Criminal Justice and Licensing (Scotland) Act 2010 (section 25 on offences aggravated by racial or religious prejudice).
- *Northern Ireland*
11. The Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987 is identical in substance to the above-mentioned Public Order Act 1986. Therefore, ECRI's recommendation in § 8 is addressed also to the authorities of Northern Ireland. The Criminal Justice (No. 2) (Northern Ireland) Order 2004 provides for an increase in sentences for offences aggravated by hostility, including the victim's membership of a "racial, religious or sexual orientation group".
- **Civil and administrative law**
- *Great Britain*
12. In its fourth report, ECRI encouraged the authorities in their efforts to prepare consolidated legislation providing equal protection to individuals against discrimination on grounds such as race, colour, religion, nationality and national or ethnic origin. It strongly recommended that they extend the protection against harassment set forth in the Equality Bill to harassment on the basis of religion, and that they consider including language as a protected characteristic.
13. ECRI notes with satisfaction that the Equality Act 2010 came into force for Great Britain in October 2010, consolidating and replacing previous discrimination laws, including the Race Relations Act 1976, and providing a single legal framework to tackle disadvantage and discrimination, as recommended by ECRI. The Act is largely in line with ECRI's GPR 7, but it could be improved by addressing the lacunae indicated below.
14. The Act covers nine protected characteristics: age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation. Race is defined as including colour, nationality (including citizenship), ethnic or national origins. ECRI regrets that the element of language is still missing compared with its GPR 7. The authorities have stated that language is inherent under ethnic origin, but there is no case law to advance this interpretation. As for other forms of discrimination, ECRI notes that the only missing element in relation to GPR 7 § 6 is announced intention to discriminate.
15. As concerns the elements set out in GPR 7 § 9, the Equality Act provides in sections 142 to 146 that a contract is unenforceable if it constitutes, promotes or provides for treatment prohibited by the Act and can be declared void. There is no express duty to ensure that those parties to whom public authorities award contracts, loans, grants, or other benefits respect and promote a policy of non-discrimination. However, under section 149, public authorities in the exercise of their functions (including procurement) must have due regard to eliminating discrimination, harassment, victimisation, advancing equality of opportunity and fostering good relations.
16. It appears also that there are no provisions in the Act providing for the review, on an on-going basis, of the conformity with the prohibition of discrimination of all laws, regulations and administrative provisions at national and local levels, and providing for those found not to be in conformity to be amended or abrogated, as called for by GPR 7 § 13. The authorities informed ECRI that they consider that there are sufficient inherent safeguards built into the legislative process to ensure that laws are not passed that result in discrimination.

17. ECRI is pleased to note that harassment is defined and prohibited in section 26, including on grounds of religion or belief, as recommended by ECRI and in line with GPR 7 § 15.
 18. Finally, ECRI has found no provisions on the obligation to suppress public financing of organisations or political parties which promote racism, or on the possibility of dissolution of such organisations (GPR 7 §§ 16 and 17).
 19. ECRI recommends that the authorities improve the Equality Act by including the following: the protected characteristic of language; announced intention to discriminate; the express duty to ensure that those parties to whom public authorities award contracts, loans, grants, or other benefits respect and promote a policy of non-discrimination; the necessary legal tools to review, on an on-going basis, the conformity with the prohibition of discrimination of all laws, regulations and administrative provisions at national and local levels, and to amend or abrogate those found not to be in conformity with the prohibition of discrimination; the obligation to suppress public financing of organisations or political parties which promote racism and the possibility of dissolution of such organisations.
- *Northern Ireland*
20. The Northern Ireland Assembly has devolved powers in relation to anti-discrimination legislation. Despite a commitment by the United Kingdom Government in the St Andrews Agreement of 2006 to work rapidly towards the development of single equality legislation, no progress has been made in Northern Ireland and there is still no equality act covering all equality grounds. There continue to be individual acts which address particular equality aspects, including the Race Relations (Northern Ireland) Order 1997, which prohibits discrimination and harassment on the grounds of race, colour, nationality or ethnic or national origins, and the Fair Employment and Treatment (Northern Ireland) Order 1998, which prohibits discrimination and harassment on the grounds of religious belief as well as political opinion.
 21. As a result, there are significant gaps between equality law in Great Britain and in Northern Ireland. For example, the current race equality legislation in Northern Ireland provides for a “two tier” level of protection, with less protection against discrimination on grounds of colour and nationality than on grounds of race, ethnic or national origins.⁶ There is also only a limited range of special measures aimed at alleviating disadvantage (“positive action”) which employers, service providers and public bodies are allowed to take. ECRI refers to the 2014 report of the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland highlighting the inadequacies in race equality legislation and its recommendations for strengthening such legislation.⁷ ECRI is concerned, therefore, that, in a number of key areas, individuals in Northern Ireland have a lower level of protection against racial discrimination than people in other parts of the United Kingdom.
 22. ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities of Northern Ireland consolidate equality legislation into a single, comprehensive equality act, taking inspiration from the Equality Act 2010, and taking account of the recommendations of the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland, as well as ECRI’s recommendations in § 19 of this report.

⁶ Harassment, for instance, only applies on the grounds of race, ethnic and national origins, but not on grounds of colour or nationality.

⁷ Equality Commission for Northern Ireland 2014.

- **National specialised bodies⁸**

- *Great Britain*

23. The Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) is an independent statutory body established in 2007 under the Equality Act 2006, and the result of the merger of the former Equal Opportunities Commission, Commission for Racial Equality and Disability Rights Commission. It aims to reduce inequality, eliminate discrimination, strengthen good relations between people, and promote and protect human rights. It protects, enforces and promotes equality across the nine protected grounds: age, disability, gender, race, religion and belief, pregnancy and maternity, marriage and civil partnership, sexual orientation and gender reassignment.

24. According to an Equinet study,⁹ the EHRC is a predominantly promotion-type and legal support body spending the bulk of its time and resources on a broad mix of activities that include supporting good practice in organisations, awareness raising, developing a knowledge base on equality and non-discrimination, and providing legal assistance to individual victims of discrimination. The latter is provided through the Equality Advisory Support Service, set up in 2012 as a helpline informing individuals of their rights and how to access further support.

25. Under its enforcement powers, the EHRC can conduct an inquiry into any matter which relates to sections 8 or 9 of the Equality Act, namely equality and diversity or human rights. It has investigation powers and may issue recommendations and an “unlawful act notice” requiring the preparation of an action plan to avoid the unlawful act being repeated or continued. While it does have the right to initiate, and participate in, court proceedings, it does not generally bring cases to court on behalf of individuals, except in strategic cases.¹⁰ In addition, the EHRC monitors legislation and gives advice to legislative and executive authorities. It has the power to threaten judicial review before proposed legislation is passed or challenge a law which has come into force. The EHRC can provide in-house legal assistance or funding for external legal assistance in equality cases that might be of strategic importance. In short, the EHRC is fully in line with ECRI’s recommendations in GPRs 2 and 7.

- *Northern Ireland*

26. The Equality Commission for Northern Ireland (ECNI) was established by the Northern Ireland Act 1998 as an independent public body on 1 October 1999. In fact, Northern Ireland was the first country in the United Kingdom to establish a commission which covered all equality grounds. The ECNI promotes equality of opportunity and affirmative action, works towards the elimination of unlawful discrimination, keeps relevant legislation under review, promotes good relations between persons of different ethnic groups and oversees the effectiveness of statutory duties on public authorities. It is also a predominantly promotion-type and legal support body and has similar functions and powers to the EHRC.

⁸ Independent authorities expressly entrusted with the fight against racism, xenophobia, antisemitism, intolerance and discrimination on grounds such as ethnic origin, colour, citizenship, religion and language (racial discrimination), at national level.

⁹ Ammer, Crowley, Liegl et al. 2010.

¹⁰ The factors taken into account by the EHRC on whether to get involved include: whether and to what extent the issue has potential to help to prevent breach of the 2010 Equality Act or human rights law by setting precedent or by raising public interest in the issues raised; whether the case addresses continuing breaches of the 2010 Act or human rights law; the prospects of success of the case in terms of its factual and legal merits. For further details, see the Equality and Human Rights Commission’s Strategic Litigation Policy, on www.equalityhumanrights.com.

2. Hate speech

27. For ECRI, hate speech is the advocacy, promotion or incitement, in any form, of the denigration, hatred or vilification of a person or group of persons, as well as any harassment, insult, negative stereotyping, stigmatisation or threat in respect of such a person or group of persons and the justification of all these types of expression, on grounds, among others, of “race”, colour, national or ethnic origin, language, religion or belief, gender identity and sexual orientation.¹¹
28. Racist hate speech in the United Kingdom is punished under various acts, as noted in §§ 4 and 9-11 of this report. As concerns hate speech motivated by sexual orientation or gender identity, ECRI refers to the section below on Policies to combat discrimination and intolerance vis-à-vis LGBT.

- Extent of the problem

29. Hate crime data, in England and Wales, are collected by the National Police Chiefs Council,¹² the Crown Prosecution Service and the Home Office and are regularly published. Hate crime is defined as “any criminal offence which is perceived, by the victim or any other person, to be motivated by hostility or prejudice towards someone based on a personal characteristic”. The five centrally-monitored “strands” of hate crime are race or ethnicity; religion or beliefs; sexual orientation; disability; and transgender identity.
30. According to the Home Office¹³, there were 52 528 hate motivated criminal offences recorded by the police in the year 2014-2015. These include offences of hate speech and violence. Of note, 42 930 (82%) belonged to the category of race hate crime; 5 597 (11%) were sexual orientation hate crimes; 3 254 (6%) were religious hate crimes; and 605 (1%) were transgender hate crimes. There was an overall increase of 18% compared with 2013-14; the largest increase was in relation to religious hate crime (43%).
31. When broken down further according to type of offence, the data show that 59% of all hate-motivated offences in 2014-15 were public order offences (the vast majority involving public fear, alarm or distress¹⁴), 30% related to violence against the person and 7% to criminal damage and arson. ECRI was not able to access any data on offences of incitement to hatred recorded by the police.
32. In addition to reported hate crime, a survey to measure unreported hate crime is conducted annually. The Crime Survey for England and Wales is a face-to-face victimisation survey in which persons aged 16 and over are asked about their experiences of crime in the past 12 months. The latest survey data revealed that there are an estimated 222 000 hate-motivated criminal offences on average per year, of which 106 000 relate to the race strand. On comparison with the police figures above, it appears that approximately only one in four hate-motivated offences is recorded by the police. This may indicate deficiencies in police recording of hate-motivated offences and unwillingness of hate crime victims to report such crime.

- Hate speech in political discourse

33. In its fourth report, ECRI recommended that the authorities take particular care, when developing and explaining policies, to ensure that the message sent to society as a whole is not one likely to foment or foster intolerance and it urged the authorities to take measures to tackle the exploitation of racism in politics. In this

¹¹ For a definition of hate speech, see also Recommendation No. R (97) 20 of the Committee of Ministers to the member States on “hate speech”, adopted on 30 October 1997.

¹² Formerly known as the Association of Chief Police Officers.

¹³ Home Office 2015a.

¹⁴ Public Order Act 1986 section 4 (Fear or provocation of violence); section 4A (Intentional harassment, alarm or distress) and section 5 (Harassment, alarm or distress).

context, ECRI welcomes the Report of the All Party Parliamentary Inquiry into Electoral Conduct, published in October 2013, and its 2015 General Election Update, as good examples of politicians actively encouraging responsibility to combat racism in political discourse.¹⁵

34. Nevertheless, there continues to be considerable intolerant political discourse, coming from the populist anti-migrant UK Independence Party (UKIP) as well as other political actors. Such discourse has focused, in recent years, on the issue of immigration. For example, in the run-up to the lifting of EU restrictions on access to the labour market for nationals of Romania and Bulgaria, there was large-scale scaremongering by UKIP and some Conservative MPs that hundreds of thousands of people from the two nations could soon be on their way to Britain.¹⁶ Terms such as “invasions” and “floods” were frequently used as well as the expression “benefits tourism”, despite a 2013 European Commission study finding no evidence that the main motivation of EU citizens to migrate was benefit-related.¹⁷ ECRI considers that using such terms contributes needlessly to an increase in xenophobic sentiments. The Council of Europe’s Human Rights Commissioner stated that it was unacceptable to treat Bulgarian and Romanian citizens like a scourge and that the debate had taken a worrying turn.¹⁸ Even the Prime Minister, when asked about the Calais crisis in July 2015, spoke of a “swarm” of people crossing the Mediterranean seeking a better life in Britain.¹⁹ The UN Special Representative of the Secretary General for International Migration accused politicians of adopting a “xenophobic response” to the migrant crisis and said their language had been “grossly excessive”.²⁰
35. Muslims are similarly portrayed in a negative light by certain politicians and as a result of some government policies. Their alleged lack of integration and opposition to “fundamental British values”, leading to radicalisation and extremism, is a common theme and contributes to a climate of mistrust and fear of Muslims. For instance, UKIP’s leader, Nigel Farage, warned that “there is rising public concern about immigration partly because people believe there are some Muslims who want to form a fifth column and kill us”.²¹ In January 2016, the Prime Minister launched a £20 million language fund to enable an estimated 190 000 Muslim women to learn English in a drive to build community integration; while ECRI applauds this programme, it regrets that the Prime Minister associated it with countering “backward attitudes” and extremism. Some Muslim groups accused him of “disgraceful stereotyping of British Muslims”.²² ECRI also refers to the counter-terrorism strategy Prevent described in §§ 85-88 below.
36. Roma, Gypsies and Travellers have also come under attack by politicians. For example, in 2013 a Conservative member of Thurrock Council referred to a Travellers’ planning application as “my big fat Gypsy cesspit” and these words were included in the Thurrock Conservatives press release.²³ A former Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, in a press release announcing a crackdown on unauthorised camps, referred to some Travellers as a “blight that would not be tolerated”. He also said, in a television interview, that Travellers

¹⁵ All-Party Parliamentary Group against Antisemitism 2013 and 2015.

¹⁶ The Independent 2013.

¹⁷ European Commission, DG Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion via DG Justice Framework Contract 2013.

¹⁸ The Guardian 2013a.

¹⁹ BBC 2015.

²⁰ Idem.

²¹ The Independent 2015a.

²² The Guardian 2016b.

²³ Politics.co.uk 2015.

could not be allowed to “trash” the green belt.²⁴ Representatives of Traveller organisations found the comments highly offensive.

37. There have also been examples of homophobic comments in political discourse. The new Liberal Democrat leader sent a tweet in July 2015 comparing the gay community to “fish” and “frogs” and suggested that homosexuality is curable.²⁵ In April 2015, Northern Ireland’s Health Minister claimed that children raised by same-sex parents are “more likely” to be abused.²⁶
38. ECRI stresses that prejudicial comments from well-known political figures have an impact on the public and legitimise intolerance. Remarks such as those indicated above can only contribute further to the already high levels of hostility in towards certain vulnerable groups, such as Gypsies and Travellers.
39. ECRI calls upon all political parties to take a firm stand against intolerant discourse and instruct their representatives to refrain from making derogatory comments targeting a group of persons on grounds of their “race”, religion, citizenship, language, ethnic origin, sexual orientation or gender identity.

- **Hate speech in traditional media and on the Internet**

40. ECRI considers that hate speech in some traditional media continues to be a serious problem, notably as concerns tabloid newspapers. According to NGOs,²⁷ the media play a prominent role in encouraging prejudice against Roma, Gypsies and Travellers, as well as other vulnerable groups. The European Roma and Travellers Forum has expressed concern that some media regularly disseminate biased or ill-founded information about these communities and that they distort and exaggerate facts and reinforce stereotypes.²⁸ A 2011 survey provides evidence of high levels of negative attitudes in the media in Northern Ireland towards Travellers and Eastern European Roma.²⁹ ECRI notes that certain tabloid newspapers, which are the most widely-read national dailies, are responsible for most of the offensive, discriminatory and provocative terminology. The Sun, for instance, published an article in April 2015 entitled “Rescue boats? I’d use gunships to stop migrants”, in which the columnist likened migrants to “cockroaches”. ECRI notes that following this, the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, emphasising decades of “sustained and unrestrained anti-foreigner abuse” in the press, stated that “vicious verbal assault on migrants and asylum seekers in the UK tabloid press has continued unchallenged under the law for far too long”. He urged the authorities and media to take steps to curb such incitement to hatred in line with the country’s obligations under national and international law.³⁰
41. The Sun newspaper has also published inflammatory anti-Muslim headlines, such as its front page of 23 November 2015 which read “1 in 5 Brit Muslims’ sympathy for jihadis”, along with a picture of a masked terrorist wielding a knife. Unscrupulous press reporting targeting LGBT is also of concern. In March 2013, a trans schoolteacher committed suicide after being outed by the Daily Mail tabloid newspaper.³¹
42. As concerns Internet hate speech, ECRI notes an upward trend. On-line hate speech targeting Muslims in particular has soared since 2013. This trend has

²⁴ The Telegraph 2013.

²⁵ Pink News 2015a.

²⁶ Belfast Live 2015.

²⁷ Ryder and Cemlin 2014.

²⁸ European Roma and Travellers Forum 2015.

²⁹ Equality Commission for Northern Ireland 2012.

³⁰ Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights 2015a.

³¹ ILGA-Europe 2014.

been documented in a paper on Islamophobia and Twitter³² as well as a recent report of the organisation Tell MAMA (Measuring Anti-Muslim Attacks).³³ Analysis of Tell MAMA's 2014-2015 data found that of the 548 Islamophobic incidents reported, 402 took place on-line.³⁴ There is also evidence that anti-Muslim hate targeting Muslim women has increased on-line, via social networking sites such as Facebook, Twitter and Instagram.³⁵ According to the above-mentioned report, this has resulted in a permanent sense of vulnerability, fear and insecurity among Muslims.

43. Further, far-right groups are increasingly using on-line platforms as primary sites for organising, recruitment, and communication. Facebook in particular is a key site for movements such as Britain First, which is a political party formed in 2011 by former members of the British National Party, with an anti-immigration and anti-Muslim agenda. According to a study by Faith Matters,³⁶ based on comments taken from Britain First's Facebook posts on 26 August 2014, the day the Rotherham scandal³⁷ was first published in the press, derogatory discourse denigrated the Muslim community as a whole, painting all Muslims as rapists and paedophiles by nature. The term "scum" came up 207 times, while words calling for direct action against Muslims, such as "hang" came up 61 times and "death" 34 times. The study states that Britain First's Facebook page opens a site in which extreme anti-Muslim attitudes circulate largely unchallenged.
44. Similarly, there was a large increase in on-line antisemitic hate speech in 2014. The organisation Community Security Trust (CST), which runs an incident hotline, recorded 1 168 antisemitic incidents in that year, against 535 in 2013,³⁸ the highest level ever recorded.³⁹ Of these, 233 involved the use of Internet-based social media, as compared with 88 in 2013. However, in 2015 there was a 22 % fall in the total number of antisemitic incidents recorded by the CST (924), of which only 159 involved the Internet.

- **Response of the authorities**

45. ECRI considers hate speech particularly worrying not only because it is often a first step in the process towards actual violence but also because of the pernicious effects it has on those who are targeted emotionally and psychologically. Appropriate responses to hate speech include law enforcement channels (criminal, civil and administrative law sanctions) but also other mechanisms to counter its harmful effects, such as self-regulation, prevention and counter speech.
46. As concerns the criminal law response, ECRI, in its fourth report, made a number of recommendations relating to the monitoring, reporting and recording of hate crime. It is pleased to note further progress in these areas. For example, since April 2011 all police forces have been reporting hate crime as part of the formal annual data requirement. Moreover, the Home Office is continuing to implement an improved data collection system called the Data Hub to streamline the process by which forces submit data and aggregate figures on the levels and trends of crime in England and Wales.

³² Awan and Zempi 2015.

³³ Awan and Zempi 2015.

³⁴ Littler and Feldman 2015.

³⁵ Awan 2014.

³⁶ Faith Matters 2014.

³⁷ Widespread organised child sexual abuse, involving some 1 400 underage girls, took place in Rotherham, South Yorkshire, between 1997 and 2013, perpetrated by Pakistani men, five of whom have been convicted.

³⁸ Community Security Trust 2014.

³⁹ Mashable UK 2015.

47. In May 2015, the Government updated its Policy Paper 2010-2015: Crime Prevention. This includes a hate crime action plan, Challenge it, Report it, Stop it, aiming at preventing hate crime, increasing the reporting of hate crime, and improving the criminal justice response to it. In addition, the police have developed their own National Policing Hate Crime Strategy 2014, which gives a clear statement of commitment to these goals. The College of Policing published Hate Crime Operational Guidance in 2014, underlining that much hate crime goes unreported and many others are reported but not recorded as hate crime. The guidance gives advice on understanding, recognising and investigating hate crime as well as the appropriate response to victims. As concerns recognition of hate crime, according to the Office for National Statistics, the increase in hate crime from 2013-14 to 2014-15 (see § 30 above) can be attributed partially to improvements in police recording rather than a real increase in offences.
48. Regarding under-reporting, a number of steps have been taken. One of these is the introduction of third-party reporting sites which provide the public with alternative methods of reporting a criminal offence. Partnerships have been established, for example, with Traveller liaison officers, and data-sharing schemes between the police and organisations such as Tell MAMA and the Community Security Trust have been established for incidents involving anti-Muslim and antisemitic hate. These are effective since many people feel more comfortable talking about traumatic incidents with members of their own community. Some forces have provided for a 24-hour telephone advice service, such as via Stop Hate UK which operates the Stop Hate Line for reporting hate crime. Further, the True Vision website,⁴⁰ a joint initiative between the police and the Government, was launched in December 2010 to publish hate crime data and to enable victims and third party organisations to report hate crime on-line directly to the relevant police agency.
49. ECRI welcomes the above initiatives and particularly applauds the third-party reporting and data sharing systems which it highlights as best practice. Further, it welcomes the fact that from spring 2016 the police will provide a breakdown of religion-based data, including anti-Muslim hate crime, which should increase consistency and provide a broader evidence base for tackling hate crime.
50. Regarding the application of the specific incitement to hatred provisions,⁴¹ ECRI notes that in 2013-14 there was one successful prosecution resulting in a 12 month custodial sentence.⁴² In the year 2012-2013, there were no prosecutions. In 2011-12, a total of 17 charges were brought resulting in 13 convictions. That year saw the first successful prosecution of stirring up hatred on grounds of sexual orientation.⁴³ ECRI is concerned that these provisions are almost never applied. Potential cases are referred to the Special Crime and Counter Terrorism Division, and, as noted in both ECRI's third and fourth reports, the consent of the Attorney General - and not the Director of Public Prosecutions - is still required for any prosecution. The authorities state that in light of the potential conflict with an individual's right to freedom of expression, there is a higher standard of proof required in incitement cases. Consequently, the Crown

⁴⁰ True Vision website: www.report-it.org.uk/home.

⁴¹ Public Order Act 1986, Part III Racial Hatred, Acts intended or likely to stir up racial hatred. These are section 18: Use of words or behaviour or display of written material; section 19: Publishing or distributing written material; section 20: Public performance of play; section 21: Distributing, showing or playing a recording; section 22: Broadcasting or including programme in cable programme service; section 23: Possession of racially inflammatory material.

⁴² The defendant posted three videos of himself on Facebook dressed in a Ku Klux Klan outfit hanging a life size 'golliwog' doll. According to a specialist lawyer from the CPS Special Crime and Counter Terrorism Division, "this was an act with very clear racist connotations, and his dissemination of the videos on open social media channels showed a clear intent to stir up racial hatred."

⁴³ Crown Prosecution Service 2011-2012.

Prosecution Service (CPS) frequently pursues other options under the Public Order Act 1986 for which convictions are easier to obtain but which result in lower sentences. The Law Commission, in a 2014 report, also noted the very few prosecutions for the “stirring up” offences and recommended a full-scale review of the hate crime legislation (see also §138 of this report).⁴⁴

51. ECRI recommends that the authorities review the provisions on incitement to hatred with a view to making them more effective and usable.
52. As regards Internet hate speech, ECRI notes that the Government contributes, through its officials and the Community Security Trust, to the International Cyber-Hate Working Group collaborating with Internet industry leaders to identify opportunities to reduce the harm caused by hate material on the Internet, while protecting the right to freedom of speech. The Working Group published Best Practices for Responding to Cyberhate, in cooperation with the social networks in 2014. The Government also provided funding to the Society of Editors to develop a best practice guide for on-line moderators of user-generated content. The True Vision website provides advice on what constitutes illegal hate content on the Internet and a means to report it. While ECRI welcomes these steps, it regrets that the United Kingdom has no plans to sign and ratify the Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime, concerning the criminalisation of acts of a racist and xenophobic nature committed through computer systems.⁴⁵ Further to its recommendation in § 51, it encourages the authorities to review and amend the current incitement laws in such a way that it would make it possible for the United Kingdom to ratify the Additional Protocol.
53. In its fourth report, ECRI strongly encouraged the authorities to intensify their efforts to impress on the media, without encroaching on their editorial independence, the need to ensure that reporting does not contribute to creating an atmosphere of hostility and rejection towards various minority ethnic groups. ECRI places great emphasis on self-regulation as an important means of combating the use of hate speech. It therefore regrets that, as concerns the media, the systems in place in the United Kingdom have not been effective. This was unequivocally demonstrated by the Leveson Inquiry, a judicial public inquiry set up by the Prime Minister into the culture, practices and ethics of the press.⁴⁶ The Leveson Report, published in November 2012,⁴⁷ pointed out that “certain parts of the press ride roughshod over others, both individuals and the public at large, without any justifiable public interest”, and that a significant number of news stories fail to meet standards of integrity and propriety and reflect a culture of “recklessness in prioritising sensational stories, almost irrespective of the harm these may cause and the rights of those who would be affected”. It also noted a “significant and reckless disregard for accuracy”. The report stated that the Press Complaints Commission was not independent and had failed its purpose, and recommended replacing it with a new, independent, self-regulatory body established by statute, with the dual roles of promoting high standards of journalism and protecting the rights of individuals, and with a range of sanctions available to it.
54. In 2013, the Government proposed a Royal Charter, which was approved by Parliament, incorporating all 47 of Lord Justice Leveson’s recommendations

⁴⁴ The Law Commission 2014.

⁴⁵ In the negotiations, the United Kingdom argued for language which would allow it to retain its criminal threshold for offences of incitement to racial hatred, but was not able to secure this in the final text and so has not been able to sign or ratify the instrument.

⁴⁶ “It was sparked by public revulsion about a single action – the hacking [by journalists] of the mobile phone of a murdered teenager.” See Leveson Inquiry, Executive summary Right Honourable Lord Justice Leveson 2012, An inquiry into the culture, practices and ethics of the press.

⁴⁷ Leveson 2012.

relating to press self-regulation. However, at the same time the press had developed their own scheme for self-regulation, the Independent Press Standards Organisation (IPSO), which in 2014 replaced the Press Complaints Commission as the new independent regulator for the newspaper and magazine industry. IPSO is supported by large sections of the press and has been signed up to by the majority of national newspapers. However, it has been criticised for not meeting the standards of independence and effectiveness recommended in the Leveson Report.⁴⁸ For example, its Editors' Code of Practice Committee is made up predominantly of serving editors. IPSO makes provision for investigations but the procedure is cumbersome with many opportunities for the target newspaper to obstruct and delay. As a result, while fines are possible (up to £1 million), none has so far been imposed.⁴⁹

55. ECRI regrets that a way has not been found to establish an independent press regulator and that, as a result, certain tabloids continue to publish offensive material, as indicated above. ECRI urges the media to take stock of the importance of responsible reporting, not only to avoid perpetuating prejudice and biased information, but also to avoid harm to targeted persons or vulnerable groups. ECRI considers that, in light of the fact that Muslims are increasingly under the spotlight as a result of recent ISIS-related terrorist acts around the world, fuelling prejudice against Muslims shows a reckless disregard, not only for the dignity of the great majority of Muslims in the United Kingdom, but also for their safety. In this context, it draws attention to a recent study by Teeside University⁵⁰ suggesting that where the media stress the Muslim background of perpetrators of terrorist acts, and devote significant coverage to it, the violent backlash against Muslims is likely to be greater than in cases where the perpetrators' motivation is downplayed or rejected in favour of alternative explanations.⁵¹

56. ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities find a way to establish an independent press regulator according to the recommendations set out in the Leveson Report. It recommends more rigorous training for journalists to ensure better compliance with ethical standards. It further recommends the authorities to sign and ratify the Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime.

57. As regards ethical standards, the Editors' Code of Practice provides that the press "must avoid prejudicial or pejorative reference to an individual's race, colour, religion, gender, sexual orientation or to any physical or mental illness or disability" (clause 12 on discrimination). The Code was amended in 2015 to include also gender identity. However, breaches of clause 12 can only be related to an identified individual who can bring a complaint as a victim, but it cannot concern groups. For example, while ECRI is satisfied that the large number of complaints against the anti-Muslim headline in the Sun newspaper (see § 41) were upheld by IPSO in respect of clause 1 (accuracy) of the Editors' Code of Practice, it notes that clause 12 was not considered as there was no pejorative or prejudicial reference to any individual. The European Roma and Travellers Forum has drawn attention to this deficiency and, in light of high levels of offensive coverage against Roma, Gypsies and Travellers in the press, has called for the introduction of a robust system where victims can complain as members of a vulnerable group.

⁴⁸ Cathcart 2013.

⁴⁹ In January 2016, another press regulator, Impress, applied for recognition via the Press Recognition Panel, set up following the Leveson Inquiry.

⁵⁰ The Guardian 2015b.

⁵¹ The study suggests that more restrained media reporting of the Sydney hostage situation in 2014, in which the hostage taker, who claimed to be acting on behalf of Islamic State, was swiftly and repeatedly identified as mentally ill, may have played a role in minimising the backlash against the Muslim community.

58. ECRI recommends amending the Editor's Code of Practice to ensure that members of groups can submit complaints as victims against biased or prejudicial reporting concerning their community.

59. An important means of tackling hate speech is through confronting and condemning it directly by counter-speech that clearly shows its destructive and unacceptable character. In its fourth report, ECRI underlined that political leaders on all sides should take a public stance against the expression of racist and xenophobic attitudes. ECRI notes that in this respect verbal racist or homophobic attacks frequently receive a broad and immediate response. For example, in June 2015, a vicar, during a radio interview, drew comparisons between gay people and paedophiles.⁵² In a Parliamentary debate shortly afterwards, the Leader of the Commons stated: "There is absolutely no connection whatsoever between homosexuality and paedophilia. Paedophilia is a crime, homosexuality is a reality of our society; it is something we have moved to support through same-sex marriage and through other changes in recent years. It is never acceptable to equate the two".⁵³ Following the Prime Minister's comment about "swarms" of migrants, he came under immediate fire, for instance from the acting Labour leader who said that "he should remember he's talking about people and not insects" and the Refugee Council which criticised his comments as "irresponsible", "dehumanising" and "extremely inflammatory". ECRI welcomes such counter narratives which send a clear public message that intolerant views are expressed by a minority and are not held by all. Moreover, ECRI takes note with satisfaction that senior politicians have made important statements or speeches on the contribution of Black and minority ethnic communities and Muslims to British society.⁵⁴

3. Racist and homo/transphobic violence

- Data

60. Recent data on hate crime, covering both hate speech and violence, are given in the previous section.

61. ECRI notes that a particularly high number of violent racist incidents occurred in 2013 with a sharp rise in anti-Muslim violence. Incidents included two murders, one victim being an 82-year-old man returning from Friday prayers,⁵⁵ several physical assaults and threats against Muslim women (many involving attempts to remove their headscarves), pigs' heads or bacon placed outside family homes, damage to mosques and Muslim graves, two attempted bombings and several arson attacks on mosques and one against a Muslim school which resulted in two boys requiring treatment for smoke inhalation. Many of these incidents occurred in the wake of the brutal killing of a British soldier by two converted Islamists in Woolwich on 22 May 2013, provoking an anti-Muslim backlash across the country. On 27 May - five days after the killing – the organisation Hope not Hate reported 193 Islamophobic incidents, including attacks on 10 mosques. Tell MAMA reported a 373% increase in reports of anti-Muslim incidents in the week

⁵² The Guardian 2015c.

⁵³ Pink News 2015b.

⁵⁴ For example, Marcus Jones MP and Minister, stated in a Parliamentary debate to celebrate Black History Month in October 2015: "Black History Month is an opportunity for us to celebrate the UK's African, Caribbean and Asian communities and the enormous contribution they have made to our country." The Prime Minister said, in July 2015: "Over generations, we have built something extraordinary in Britain – a successful multi-racial, multi-faith democracy ... I know what a profound contribution Muslims from all backgrounds and denominations are making in every sphere of our society, proud to be both British and Muslim, without conflict or contradiction."

⁵⁵ The killer, a White supremacist Ukrainian student, was sentenced to life imprisonment. See the 2015 report of Hate Speech International, Investigating extremism, Hating Muslims, Anti-Muslim hate crimes and terrorism, 2010-2014 at www.hate-speech.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/HATING-MUSLIMS-single-page-pdf-version.pdf.

after the soldier's murder as compared to the week before it. The total number of anti-Muslim incidents recorded by Tell MAMA in the year 2012-13 was 734,⁵⁶ while data for 2014-2015 revealed a drop in such incidents, down to 548 in total.⁵⁷ Of these, seven were categorised as extreme violence; 21 involved assault, 29 threats and 15 damage to Islamic institutions and personal properties.

62. As for antisemitic violence, a large increase was noted in 2014. The Community Security Trust (CST) reported 81 violent assaults, one of which was categorised as extreme violence (grievous bodily harm or threat to life) as compared to 69 in 2013, an increase of 17%.⁵⁸ Incidents of damage to and desecration of Jewish property increased by 65%, from 49 incidents in 2013 to 81 incidents in 2014. There were 92 incidents in the category of threats in 2014, which represents an increase of 142% compared to the 38 incidents of this type recorded in 2013.
63. As noted before, hate crime targeting LGBT is also increasing. Moreover, compared with other types of hate crime, incidents motivated by hostility towards the victim's sexual orientation are more likely to be violent in nature.⁵⁹ For example, a cross-dressing man was viciously assaulted in June 2015 in east London while a gang chanted "kill him".⁶⁰ Also in June 2015, a man threatened to burn a lesbian couple's house down with their children inside.⁶¹ The Leicester Hate Crime Project,⁶² the United Kingdom's largest study of hate crime victimisation, suggests that intimidation, harassment and violence are an everyday reality for some LGBT people.⁶³ The author of the study said that some gay people especially in rural areas are relentlessly bullied because of their sexuality, leaving some too scared to leave the house. The Project has also shown that under-reporting is particularly evident within the Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual (LGB) sample of the above-mentioned study, of whom only 14% had reported their most recent experience of hate crime to the police. High numbers of LGBT victims explained that the reason for not reporting was fear of not being taken seriously.
64. ECRI is concerned that, according to a 2014 report,⁶⁴ there have been at least 93 killings with a known or suspected racial element in the United Kingdom since the publication of the Macpherson Report in 1999⁶⁵; 97% of the victims were Black or from "ethnic minority" groups, including Gypsy or Traveller communities and European migrant workers. Only four of the killings were perpetrated by people known to be supporters of far-right groups. In 84% of cases, there was a conviction of some kind but not necessarily for murder or manslaughter. In only a quarter of the cases was the allegation of racism accepted and prosecuted with racist motivation factored into the sentencing of perpetrators. The report also

⁵⁶ Feldman and Litter 2014.

⁵⁷ Feldman and Litter 2015.

⁵⁸ Community Security Trust 2014.

⁵⁹ Creese and Lader 2014.

⁶⁰ Pink News 2015c.

⁶¹ Pink News 2015d.

⁶² Chakraborti and Hardy 2015.

⁶³ See the Leicester Hate Crime Project, www2.le.ac.uk/departments/criminology/hate/research/copy_of_project. Also reported in The Telegraph, 23 June 2015.

⁶⁴ Athwal and Burnett 2014.

⁶⁵ The Macpherson Report investigated the racially-motivated murder of Black teenager Stephen Lawrence by a group of White youths at a bus stop in Greenwich, London, in 1993. It concluded that the Metropolitan Police was institutionally racist and 70 recommendations for reform were made (67 of which have now been implemented). The Report has been described as a "defining moment in British race relations", a "watershed" and a document that "rocked the foundations of the police service". It led to profound changes in the criminal justice system. In 2012, almost 20 years after the event, two of the original suspects were convicted of the murder.

cites lack of early intervention by the police, when persistent harassment and low-level racial abuse are reported by victims. 19% of the total deaths were preceded by a campaign of racial harassment and intimidation, which was ignored or not taken seriously by police.

- **The authorities' response**

65. In addition to information provided in the previous section on hate speech, which applies also to hate-motivated violence, ECRI would like to highlight a number of other issues.
66. ECRI notes that the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) has produced specific guidance for prosecutors on prosecuting racist and religious crime, homophobic and transphobic crime and cases involving communications sent via social media. However, it is concerned that there is a large difference between hate crime recorded by the police (see § 30) and that referred for prosecution. According to CPS data,⁶⁶ as concerns racially or religiously aggravated offences during the year 2013-2014, the police referred 12 184 cases to the CPS (an increase of 14.7% on the previous year). 79.7% of these went on to prosecution and the conviction rate was 85.2%. In the same year, the police referred 1 307 homophobic and transphobic cases to the CPS for decision (an increase of 18.3% on the previous year); the conviction rate was 80.7%. The CPS states that the main reason for the difference between recorded and prosecuted hate crime is that for around half (49%) of all offences recorded, no suspect is identified and the case is closed.⁶⁷ This means that a very large amount of hate crime goes unpunished.
67. As for enhanced sentencing, where this is applied it shows that the police, prosecutors and courts have all recognised the hate motivation and is therefore one of the clearest indicators of a successful criminal justice response. ECRI regrets, therefore, that there is no data available on the application of enhanced sentencing under sections 145 and 146 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003, since this is not recorded by courts or elsewhere and does not appear on the criminal records of offenders.⁶⁸ There is much speculation about the under-use of these provisions.
68. ECRI is also concerned about reports stating that the racially-motivated aspects of cases are often filtered out by the police, CPS or judiciary through a combination of unwillingness to recognise racist motivation, the reclassifying of racist attacks as disputes or other forms of hostility, and the over-strict interpretation of the provisions on racist motivation.⁶⁹ Further, ECRI notes that the aggravated elements of offences are sometimes dropped through the process of accepting guilty pleas. Although it is CPS policy not to accept pleas to lesser offences, or omit or minimise admissible evidence of racial or religious aggravation for the sake of expediency, the CPS also states that guilty pleas benefit victims and witnesses, who do not have to attend court to give evidence, and substantially reduce costs. However, in light of the considerable evidence that hate crime is more traumatising to victims than other types of crime,⁷⁰ the failure to acknowledge the hate motivation is emotionally damaging to victims as it does not recognise the specific harm suffered by them. When racist motivation is dropped, the state appears to be condoning it. In addition, the removal of

⁶⁶ Crown Prosecution Service 2013-2014.

⁶⁷ Home Office 2015a.

⁶⁸ This is not the case in Northern Ireland: since 2015, statistics include whether or not the judge accepted the "aggravated by hostility" element and imposed an enhanced sentence under the Criminal Justice (No. 2) (NI) Order 2004. Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission 2015, The 2015 Annual Statement: Human Rights in Northern Ireland.

⁶⁹ See for example, Athwal and Burnett 2014.

⁷⁰ Duggan 2014; Iganski 2015; College of Policing 2014.

aggravated elements through the process of accepting guilty pleas results in a failure of hate crime data to reflect the true picture. In this respect, ECRI notes that the CPS has examined the issue of dropped aggravation in light of community concerns and has taken steps to reinforce policy and enhance capacity in this area.

69. ECRI recommends that data are gathered on the application of enhanced sentencing under sections 145 and 146 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and, where imposed, that this should be duly recorded, including on the criminal records of offenders. Data should also be collected on where aggravated offences and enhanced sentencing have been invoked and then dropped through the process of accepting guilty pleas. ECRI also recommends that steps are taken to narrow the gap between hate crime recorded by the police and that referred for prosecution.
70. ECRI welcomes the reaction of the authorities to some of the violent incidents mentioned above. Following the incident in which a soldier was beheaded in the street in Woolwich, an additional 1 200 police officers were deployed across London to prevent revenge attacks on Muslim communities. The attack itself was condemned by all major political and religious leaders, including the Prime Minister, the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Christian Muslim Forum and the Muslim Council of Britain.
71. Regarding criticism over lack of early intervention by the police (see § 64), ECRI welcomes the fact that this has been acknowledged and steps are being taken to address the issue. Both the Government hate crime action plan and the police strategy stress the fact that it is essential that all police staff are aware of the potential for low-level hate-motivated anti-social behaviour to escalate into more serious offences.

4. Integration policies

72. A number of different policies have been developed across the United Kingdom in recent years addressing integration. These include the 2010 Equality Strategy – Building a Fairer Britain, based on the principles of equal treatment and equal opportunity, and the 2012 Creating the Conditions for Integration. Neither of these addressed racial inequalities as a factor hindering integration of certain communities but focused on socio-economic status and poverty affecting people’s chances in life regardless of racial or ethnic background.
73. The Scottish Government published a Race Equality Statement for 2008-2011. A new Race Equality Framework setting out the Scottish Government’s approach to promoting race equality and tackling racism between 2016 and 2030 was published in March 2016. The Welsh Government has an on-going community cohesion strategy, Getting on Together, launched in 2009. It has also established a Wales Race Forum, to engage and understand the key issues and barriers facing Black and minority ethnic communities, and a Faith Communities Forum, bringing together representatives of different faith groups to discuss key inter-faith issues. Northern Ireland published a Racial Equality Strategy 2015-2025 in December 2015.
74. ECRI examines below the situation of certain vulnerable groups in light of any specific integration policies or lack of such policies.
- *“Black and minority ethnic” communities*⁷¹
75. Until very recently there was no national policy specifically targeting the integration of so-called Black and minority ethnic communities. The Prime Minister announced his new policy entitled 2020 Vision during an election

⁷¹ The term is used to refer to members of non-White communities in the United Kingdom. In ECRI’s other country reports, these would be described as people with a migration background.

campaign speech in April 2015. The aim is to improve opportunity for people from Black and minority ethnic communities. 2020 Vision includes a number of specific targets to be achieved by the end of the current Parliament in 2020: 20% more Black and minority ethnic people in employment; 20% more students from diverse backgrounds in university; 20% of new apprenticeship start-ups from Black and minority ethnic young people and a 20% increase in new Black and minority ethnic recruits in the police. ECRI welcomes this initiative and the commitments of the United Kingdom Government, but as 2020 Vision has been adopted very recently it is not possible for ECRI to assess its effectiveness at this stage.

76. ECRI notes that the five largest non-majority ethnic groups (from largest to smallest) are Indian, Pakistani, Black African, Black Caribbean and Bangladeshi. According to a 2014 report⁷², while the United Kingdom's White population has remained roughly the same size over the past 10 years, the ethnic minority population has almost doubled and now is at least 8 million people, or 14% of the total population. The report also notes that at least 99% of second-generation ethnic minority groups who were born in the United Kingdom to immigrant parents have United Kingdom citizenship.
77. In its fourth report, ECRI made a number of recommendations in the field of education including: reduce achievement gaps between minority ethnic pupils and the majority population; and intensify the drive to recruit minority ethnic teachers and retain them in the teaching profession. As concerns the achievement gap, according to data gathered by the authorities, some minority ethnic pupils in England do well at school.⁷³ However, Black Caribbean and Pakistani pupils continue to perform below the national average.⁷⁴
78. ECRI is interested to learn about the Special Educational Needs Programme which channels resources through the "pupil premium" introduced in England 2011. The aim is to raise the attainment of disadvantaged pupils from low income families irrespective of their background or their current level of achievement. Additional funding is granted to state maintained schools for every disadvantaged pupil enrolled.⁷⁵ The authorities have stated that the programme disproportionately benefits pupils from Black and minority ethnic communities. In 2012-13, at the end of key stage 4, 45% of Black Caribbean pupils and 44.4% of Pakistani pupils were eligible for the pupil premium. The National Audit Office published a study in June 2015 stating that early signs are that the pupil premium has the potential to bring about a significant improvement in outcomes, but that it is too early to evaluate its full impact. ECRI encourages the authorities to make an assessment of the effectiveness of the scheme and how it reduces the achievement gap in particular as concerns Black Caribbean and Pakistani pupils.
79. As for the recruitment of Black and minority ethnic teachers in England, Department for Education figures show that the current teaching force is 93.3% White and only 6.7% are from ethnic minority groups compared to around 14% of the population as a whole. In addition, only 2.4% of head teachers are from ethnic minority groups. The National Union of Teachers has warned that the current state of recruitment is "disturbing" as it means that, in the majority of

⁷² Sunak and Rajeswaran 2014.

⁷³ In 2014, at the end of primary school, Chinese (88%), Indian (86%), Irish (84%) and Bangladeshi (81%) pupil groups performed above the national average (79%) in reading, writing and mathematics. At key stage 4 (the two years leading up to the General Certificate of Secondary Education, when pupils are aged between 14 and 16), Chinese (74.4%), Indian (72.9%), Irish (65.9%), Bangladeshi (61.3%) and Black African (56.8%) pupil groups attained above the national average for all pupils of 56.6%.

⁷⁴ In 2014, 73% of Black Caribbean pupils and 75% of Pakistani pupils attained or exceeded the expected level for reading, writing and mathematics compared to the national average of 79%.

⁷⁵ In 2015-16, the per annum amount is £1 320 per child in primary school and £935 per child in secondary school.

schools, the only Black role models are administrative staff, cleaners, kitchen or security staff.⁷⁶ Further, evidence collected by the National Union of Teachers shows that once in the profession, Black and minority ethnic teachers still face discrimination, bullying and harassment which hold them back from career progression and may be a factor in the low take-up into the profession.⁷⁷ ECRI remains concerned about the continued under-representation of Black and minority ethnic teachers in the workforce and the difficult situation they face in the profession.

80. ECRI reiterates its recommendation to intensify the recruitment of Black and minority ethnic teachers, in order for teaching staff to reflect better the communities they serve, and retain them in the teaching profession.
81. In its fourth report, ECRI encouraged the authorities in their efforts to improve the employment situation of ethnic minorities. According to the authorities, the latest ethnic minority employment figures for 2015 are encouraging: the employment rate of 62.8% is at its highest since 2001. Nevertheless, the 2014 study “A Portrait of Modern Britain” confirms that ethnic groups generally have higher unemployment rates than the White population with the highest proportion of jobless Black Africans – at least 14.8% (as compared to 4.8% unemployed White people, according to data provided by the Government), although their post-16 educational participation rate is much higher.⁷⁸ The exception is Indians who are often in the highest skilled professions.
82. A number of employment schemes and programmes have been developed to support people in addressing barriers to accessing employment, such as Jobcentre Plus, Work Programme and the Apprenticeship Grant for Employers to encourage employers to take on young apprentices aged 16-24. However, it appears that these programmes have not been effective in getting ethnic minorities into work. Data for 2014-2015 show that only 8.7% of new starters on apprenticeship programmes were ethnic minorities, despite accounting for 17.8% of the population of those aged 16-24. This has been partially explained by poor promotion of apprenticeship schemes in schools.⁷⁹
83. As regards health, ECRI is concerned about numerous reports indicating that people from Black African or Caribbean ethnic groups have the highest rate of contact with specialist mental health services.⁸⁰ According to information from the organisation Black Mental Health UK, although the prevalence of mental illness amongst this group is not higher, Black people are 50% more likely to be referred to the psychiatric services via the police than White people.⁸¹ Allegations of discrimination by police and by the mental health services in relation to Black people have been made and that high levels of coercion rather than care typify the Black African/Caribbean patient experience.⁸² ECRI considers that the authorities should look into these allegations and review the treatment of Black African and Caribbean people in the mental health care system.
84. In view of the continued disadvantage of certain Black and minority ethnic groups in education and employment, ECRI encourages the authorities to find concrete ways to achieve their 2020 Vision goals. It also strongly recommends setting similar goals in health care, with a particular focus on eliminating any racial discrimination in mental health care.

⁷⁶ The Independent 2015b.

⁷⁷ Ward 2014.

⁷⁸ Ali and Gidley 2014.

⁷⁹ Mai Sims 2012-2013.

⁸⁰ See, for example, Equality and Human Rights Commission 2015.

⁸¹ Care Quality Commission 2014.

⁸² MacAttram 2015.

85. Finally, ECRI notes that the integration of Muslims⁸³ has been called into question in recent times. They have come under increasing focus in public debate as a result of terrorist acts around the world as well as national scandals such as the Trojan Horse affair.⁸⁴ The popular reaction is to associate all Muslims with extremism and terrorism. As observed previously, this has led to a large increase in hate speech and violence against Muslims. Added to this are a number of policies which may have the effect of further stereotyping Muslims, albeit indirectly. One of these is the Prevent Strategy, which is part of the United Kingdom Government's counter-terrorism strategy.⁸⁵ It aims to stop people becoming terrorists or supporting terrorism and defines extremism as "vocal or active opposition to fundamental British values including democracy, the rule of law, individual liberty and mutual respect and tolerance of different faiths and beliefs".
86. In July 2015, a statutory duty in England and Wales was placed on schools, prisons, local authorities and hospitals to have due regard to preventing people being drawn into terrorism. According to Government guidance, the day-to-day responsibilities of teachers, even at nursery-school level, now include identifying children at risk of radicalisation and referring them to the Government's anti-radicalisation programme, Channel, set up to protect vulnerable individuals from being drawn into terrorism. From 2007-2013, 2 653 people were referred (547 from the education sector), almost half of them under 18, with the youngest being three years old.⁸⁶ Since 2012, over 4 000 people have been referred for de-radicalisation, 57.4% of whom were Muslims (prior to this it was 67%). Fears have been raised that the strategy fuels discrimination against Muslims.
87. ECRI is concerned that all this has created a feeling of anxiety and insecurity within Muslim communities. ECRI considers that it may also lead to increasing marginalisation and alienation of Muslims by the majority population. It recalls the recommendation it made in its fourth report to pursue and strengthen dialogue with representatives of Muslims on the causes of Islamophobia, emphasising the need for an overall strategy against it. ECRI welcome's the United Kingdom Government's funding, since 2012, of Tell MAMA which is considered to be a successful project. However, ECRI notes that other initiatives, such as the All-Party Parliamentary Group on Islamophobia, which was re-established in Parliament in 2011, and the cross-Government Working Group on Anti-Muslim Hatred set up in 2012, have had little impact.⁸⁷ ECRI considers that the authorities and Muslim community organisations should take inspiration from the successful partnership between Jewish community organisations and the Government on shared priorities and work to improve dialogue in a similar way. Indeed, ECRI is pleased to note that Jewish organisations have expressed positive views about the relationship of trust with the Government and the police

⁸³ Results from the 2011 census show that Islam is the second largest religion in the United Kingdom with a Muslim population of 2 706 066 persons, approximately 4.5% of the total population. The largest groups of Muslims are Pakistanis and Bangladeshis.

⁸⁴ Operation Trojan Horse purported to be a guide for hardline Islamists wanting to overthrow head teachers at state schools in Birmingham and beyond, and replace them with compliant leaders who would run the schools on strict Islamic principles. Five separate investigations were conducted into the alleged plot. The Government report of 2014 concluded that there had been "coordinated, deliberate and sustained action, carried out by a number of associated individuals, to introduce an intolerant and aggressive Islamic ethos into a few schools in Birmingham." However, according to the report of the Education Select Committee of the House of Commons of 17 March 2015, "no evidence of extremism or radicalisation, apart from a single isolated incident, was found by any of the inquiries."

⁸⁵ See also Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights 2016.

⁸⁶ Association of Chief Police Officers 2014.

⁸⁷ Huffington Post 2015 and New Statesman 2015.

in tackling antisemitism.⁸⁸ Moreover, Muslims should be involved in the development of policies which could adversely affect them, such as Prevent.

88. ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities establish a real dialogue with Muslims in order to combat Islamophobia. They should consult them on all policies which could affect Muslims.

- *Roma, Gypsies and Travellers*

89. ECRI notes that the term “Gypsy and Traveller” refers to traditional groups who have lived and travelled in the United Kingdom for centuries, including Romany Gypsies and Irish Travellers, who all speak English as their mother-tongue. Some Gypsies and Travellers travel, or travel some of the time, while many are sedentary and live in houses but nevertheless consider that travelling is part of their identity. The 2011 census for England and Wales for the first time included a Gypsy and Traveller tick-box in the ethnic origin question and 58 000 people (0.1% of the population) identified themselves as belonging to these groups. In Scotland the figure was just over 4 000 (0.1%) and in Northern Ireland 1 300 (0.07%). However, their numbers are estimated to be much higher, from 80 000 to 300 000.⁸⁹ The term “Roma” usually refers to people of Roma origin who have migrated from other parts of Europe to the United Kingdom in recent years and who are not travellers. There are also no confirmed figures for the size of this population. According to the European Union’s 2014 Roma Health Report, Europe’s largest migrant Roma population is to be found in the United Kingdom.⁹⁰

90. ECRI notes that the United Kingdom has clearly stated that it does not have a national Roma integration strategy. Its approach is set out in the document Council Conclusions on an EU Framework Strategy for Roma Integration up to 2020: United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.⁹¹ The document describes briefly the situation of Roma, Gypsies and Travellers and the policies in place to address disadvantages they suffer in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, within wider social inclusion policies. In addition, ECRI notes that in April 2012, the Ministerial Working Group set up in 2010 to look into inequalities experienced by Gypsies and Travellers in England, published a progress report setting out 28 commitments in various fields including education, health care, providing appropriate accommodation, tackling hate crime and improving access to employment.

91. These two documents were submitted to the European Commission in 2012. ECRI is pleased to note that the Commission’s 2014 assessment highlighted various mainstream and targeted measures taken in education, employment, health, housing and anti-discrimination and recommended monitoring their impact. It also pointed out that halting sites for Gypsies and Travellers and housing for Roma remain a challenge all over the United Kingdom, and that effective measures should be taken to combat anti-Roma rhetoric and hate speech. The lack of allocation of funding for many of the measures is also noted.⁹²

92. NGOs have criticised the Government for failing to adopt an NRIS and of developing policies limited to cosmetic commitments falling below identified needs.⁹³ Gypsy and Traveller organisations have described the 28 commitments for England as “very disappointing and unacceptable”, or “at best disappointing

⁸⁸ Muslim Engagement and Development 2015.

⁸⁹ European Commission, Directorate-General for Justice.

⁹⁰ European Union 2014.

⁹¹ This was drafted in response to the Council of the European Union Conclusions of May 2011 on an EU Framework for National Roma Integration Strategies (NRIS) up to 2020.

⁹² European Commission, Directorate-General for Justice 2013.

⁹³ European Roma and Travellers Forum 2015.

and at worst insulting". The 28 commitments have also been criticised by some civil society organisations for addressing Roma only in the field of education and for not including this group explicitly in other policy areas.⁹⁴ ECRI notes that many of the 28 commitments are vague where not accompanied by targets, indicators, timeframes, or dedicated funding.

93. As concerns accommodation, in its fourth report, ECRI recommended that the authorities step up their efforts to ensure that a sufficient number of pitches are in place to accommodate the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. One of the most pressing issues for Gypsies and Travellers continues to be the lack of site provision.⁹⁵ According to the 2011 census, 24% of respondents who identified as Gypsy or Traveller live in caravans or other mobile structures. According to the latest bi-annual count of traveller caravans in July 2015, there were 21 084 caravans of which 85% were on authorised sites and 15% on unauthorised sites. Unauthorised sites include public land or privately-owned land, including land owned by Gypsies and Travellers but without planning permission (this is required even for a single caravan). To complicate the situation further, the majority of planning applications by Gypsies and Travellers are turned down.
94. The Localism Act 2011 gave local planning authorities more control over planning and development in their areas. The European Roma and Travellers Forum signaled that this "bad practice" could lead to a decline in the number and quality of sites provided, because local councils are often unwilling to provide new sites due to high levels of tension between Gypsies and Travellers and the rest of the population. Local residents protest fiercely against the creation of sites and have organised successful campaigns against them.⁹⁶
95. The authorities have informed ECRI that under the Traveller Pitch Fund, which ran from 2011 to 2015, 499 new pitches were developed and 386 were refurbished in England. These figures are disputed by Gypsy and Traveller organisations as misleading, since most sites listed as new are in fact renovations of existing pitches.⁹⁷ In a research report of 2009 published by the Equality and Human Rights Commission, the national shortfall in England was estimated to be almost 6 000 pitches;⁹⁸ Gypsy and Traveller organisations informed ECRI that they are of the view that little or no progress has been made since then.⁹⁹ The lack access to an authorised pitch means that they have to resort to wasteland or roadside accommodation, known as unauthorised encampments. There are estimated to be around 1000 caravans on the roadside at any given time. Moreover, such unauthorised encampments frequently lead to repeated forced evictions.

⁹⁴ While the focus of the Ministerial Working Group was on Gypsies and Travellers, its progress report acknowledged that some issues affecting Roma overlap with those of Gypsies and Travellers, particularly in education.

⁹⁵ A site is made up of several pitches. Each pitch is equivalent to a family home and might accommodate one or more caravans.

⁹⁶ For example, in Cheshire the local council announced that it planned to build two plots for Gypsy and Traveller households to live on a site, but residents set up the Crewe Campaign Against Traveller Sites in October 2011 which raised enough money to hire a lawyer and a 5 400-strong petition. The group received widespread public support and in the face of this pressure, the local council withdrew the application for a site in April 2012.

⁹⁷ The Homes and Communities Agency defines new pitches as including those on an existing site that are not fit for purpose, with no facilities or only derelict facilities, and where the works require the complete redevelopment of the site.

⁹⁸ Brown and Niner 2009.

⁹⁹ Reports indicate the shortage of sites also in Scotland. See for example: Equality and Human Rights Commission 2013 and Shelter Scotland 2016.

96. Furthermore, in August 2015 the Government issued a new Planning Policy for Traveller Sites in England, which replaces the previous policy of 2012 and which came into force immediately. A controversial aspect of this is that if Gypsies or Travellers stop travelling permanently due to ill-health or old age, they will no longer be within the planning definition of Gypsy and Traveller.¹⁰⁰ According to a report by the Institute of Race Relations,¹⁰¹ the policy is traumatic for Gypsies and Travellers, who feel that it is an attempt by the Government to erase their identity and curb their traditional way of life. ECRI notes that there was a consultation before the policy was adopted but the strong opposition of Gypsy and Traveller groups as well as concerns raised by the EHRC were not taken into account. ECRI regrets that the situation as concerns accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers has deteriorated since its fourth report. This may be due to the lack of an adequate policy in this respect.
97. Nevertheless, ECRI draws attention to two positive developments. Firstly, it notes an example of best practice in the city of Leeds, which developed a “negotiated stopping” policy in 2011.¹⁰² Under the policy, Gypsy and Traveller families select the location where they want to set up camp and sign an agreement not to stay for longer than three months. In return, the council agrees not to evict them and to supply facilities such as toilets and waste disposal services. The council can decline a request for a justifiable reason but must provide an alternative piece of land. As a result of the policy, relations between the council, the police, the public and Gypsies and Travellers have improved markedly and the council is saving £2 000 a week on eviction and clean-up costs.¹⁰³ ECRI calls upon other areas to follow this successful initiative which benefits all parties. Secondly, ECRI is pleased to note that the Welsh Government has recognised the cultural needs of Gypsies and Travellers to remain nomadic and has taken steps to break the negative cycle of conflict and exclusion caused by a shortage of sites.
98. ECRI recommends that the new planning definition in England of Gypsy and Traveller is replaced with the previous one of 2012, that sufficient pitches are provided according to the needs of these communities, and that alternatives to eviction, such as the negotiated stopping policy in the city of Leeds, are promoted and replicated elsewhere.
99. As concerns Roma, local studies show that the majority live in privately rented, overcrowded accommodation in poor conditions and expensive to rent.¹⁰⁴ Roma are often in low paid and insecure work and rely on extended family networks to live together and contribute to the rent. Poverty, and sometimes destitution, is a persistent problem for Central and Eastern European Roma.¹⁰⁵ ECRI considers that the lack of a housing policy geared to the needs of Roma contributes to the marginalisation of this community.
100. As for education, ECRI notes that six of the 28 commitments refer to steps to be taken in this field, including tackling poor attendance at school and low attainment. However, these do not involve any concrete goals. The 2011 census revealed that Gypsies and Travellers had the highest proportion with no academic or professional qualifications for any ethnic group (60%) – almost three times higher than for England and Wales as a whole (23%). Gypsy, Roma and

¹⁰⁰ This does not apply in Wales where the Housing (Wales) Act 2004 retains a wide definition of Gypsies and Travellers.

¹⁰¹ Johnson and Ryder 2016.

¹⁰² This was initiated by the organisation Leeds Gypsy and Traveller Exchange (Leeds GATE). The organisation was the regional winner of the Lloyds Bank Foundation 2015 Charity Achievement Awards under the category “Championing Change”.

¹⁰³ Third Sector 2015.

¹⁰⁴ European Union 2014; European Roma and Travellers Forum 2015.

¹⁰⁵ European Commission 2014.

Traveller pupils are the lowest performing ethnic group in education. In 2014, 29% of Gypsy/Roma pupils and 38% of Traveller pupils reached or exceeded the expected level in reading, writing and mathematics, compared to the national average of 79%. Some steps have been taken to address this gap. In 2012-13, at the end of key stage 4, 78.8% of Traveller pupils and 65.2% of Gypsy/Roma pupils were eligible for the above-mentioned pupil premium (as compared to 23.4% of White British pupils).

101. In Scotland, the Scottish Government's education-specific Equality Outcome includes a focus on Gypsies and Travellers. The Government also funds the Scottish Traveller Education Programme and is currently developing guidance on inclusive educational approaches for Traveller children and young people. As for Wales, Travelling Together, a new on-line curriculum resource which celebrates Gypsy and Traveller history and culture has been launched aiming to provide pupils with a more culturally relevant curriculum. The lowest performing group in Wales are Gypsy/Roma children; in 2011-13, only 12.3% of these pupils reached the required level at age 16. As for Northern Ireland, the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland has identified wide-ranging educational inequalities experienced by Traveller children, with 92% leaving school without qualifications compared with 4% for school-leavers overall. Therefore, the data clearly show that a great deal more needs to be done across the United Kingdom to improve education outcomes for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller pupils.
102. Regarding employment, ECRI recalls its recommendation that the authorities take steps to reduce the unemployment of Gypsies and Travellers. Among the 28 commitments of the Government, only one is directly related to the above recommendation, providing for personalised support to Gypsies and Travellers via the Work Programme and "access to appropriate skills support". ECRI has no information about the effect on Gypsies and Travellers of the Work Programme, launched in 2011, but notes that to the end of December 2015, 503 160 participants had found sustained work through it. The 2011 census showed that Gypsy or Travellers were the ethnic groups with the lowest proportion of respondents who were economically active. Of these only 51% were employed compared to 75% for the total of England and Wales and 20% were unemployed (compared to 7% for England and Wales). Elementary occupations (such as sales, service or construction) were the most common type of employment. According to the Civil Society Monitoring report,¹⁰⁶ evidence exists that Gypsies and Travellers in Scotland and Northern Ireland face high levels of economic exclusion, with troubling evidence of Roma being exploited in low paid employment or within the informal economy.
103. Typically Gypsies and Travellers earn a living by delivering business services and trading with local communities (such as scrap metal trading, tree cutting and fencing). Increasingly rigid legislation has been enacted, such as the new Scrap Metal Dealers Act 2013 which aims to tackle the illegal trade in stolen metal. It means that individuals must apply to each local authority in which scrap metal is collected and pay the fees. Since Gypsies and Travellers frequently work across different local authorities they have to apply and pay for licences several times. The new legislation disproportionately affects these communities and creates an obstacle for them to work.
104. ECRI recommends that the licencing regime under the Scrap Metal Dealers Act 2013 is revised to allow Gypsies and Travellers to apply for licences on a regional rather than local basis to allow them to pursue this trade across a range of local authorities without incurring excessive fees.
105. Again, ECRI is concerned that the situation as regards employment has not improved and may even have deteriorated.

¹⁰⁶ Ryder and Cemlyn, 2014. See also European Roma and Travellers Forum 2015.

106. As concerns health, ECRI, in its fourth report, strongly encouraged the authorities to pursue their efforts to research and address the situation of disadvantage of the Gypsy and Traveller population as concerns health issues. Five of the Government's 28 commitments concern health. These include identifying gaps in data and research, identifying specific interventions that produce positive health outcomes, and identifying what more needs to be done to improve maternal health and reduce infant mortality. According to a recent report of the EU's Fundamental Rights Agency,¹⁰⁷ Traveller women are expected to live 12 fewer years and Traveller men 10 fewer years than the general population. Data on infant mortality show higher rates amongst Gypsies and Travellers compared with the general population. Local studies indicate high prevalence of diabetes, cardiovascular disease, premature myocardial infarction, obesity and asthma amongst Central and Eastern European Roma. More than one study points to higher incidences of mental health issues such as stress, anxiety and depression amongst Gypsies, Travellers and Roma.¹⁰⁸
107. In recognition of the fact that Gypsies and Travellers have poorer health status than other groups and that they also face barriers in accessing some health care services, the authorities informed ECRI that they provided funding for the NGO Friends, Families and Travellers over three years for a project to address health inequalities experienced by Gypsies and Travellers.
108. In view of the above, ECRI considers that the United Kingdom needs to draw up urgently, in consultation with Gypsy, Traveller and Roma groups, a detailed programme of integration strategies and measures to address the extreme disadvantage suffered by these communities.
109. ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities draw up, in consultation with Gypsy, Traveller and Roma groups, a detailed programme of integration strategies and measures to address the disadvantage suffered by all three of these communities in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, including concrete targets, timeframes, and resources, in all areas of daily life, such as education, employment, health care and accommodation, in particular addressing the shortage of caravan sites.
- *Refugees*
110. There is no national policy in general on the integration of non-nationals. As concerns refugees, there is currently no integration strategy in England¹⁰⁹ or Northern Ireland.¹¹⁰ However, in Scotland, *New Scots: Integrating Refugees in Scotland's Communities 2014-2017* aims to ensure that people who seek asylum in Scotland are welcomed, supported and integrated into communities from day one. The Welsh Government adopted a *Refugee Inclusion Strategy* in 2008 with the aim of supporting refugees and enabling them to rebuild their lives and make a full contribution to society. An *Implementation Action Plan* was launched in 2011 and is updated annually; it includes priorities in different fields including health care, housing, provision of free English-language courses, and employment.
111. In 2014, there were almost 31 400 asylum applications (an increase from 29 900 in 2013). Some 20 000 decisions were taken; 36% resulted in asylum being granted. The main applicants were from Eritrea, Pakistan, Syria and Iran. At the

¹⁰⁷ FRANET National Focal Point: Social Thematic Study: The Situation of Roma, University of Nottingham, as reported in the European Commission's 2014 Roma Health Report, Health status of the Roma population, Data collection in the Member States of the European Union.

¹⁰⁸ European Commission 2014.

¹⁰⁹ The last strategy published in 2009, *Integration Matters*, is no longer in place.

¹¹⁰ A proposal for such a strategy was put forward in November 2013.

end of 2014, UNHCR estimated that there were 117 161 refugees in the United Kingdom.¹¹¹

112. According to reports, while refugees are entitled to the same welfare benefits as United Kingdom citizens, they nevertheless often live in situations of poverty and social exclusion.¹¹² The Refugee Integration and Employment Service, which was oriented towards assisting integration, in particular with housing, welfare and employment, was closed down in 2011. Since then there has been limited strategic and resourced support for refugee integration.¹¹³ Cuts to classes in English for speakers of other languages have also hindered integration progress.
113. The main problem faced by new refugees is the extremely short “grace period” in the transition between asylum seeker and refugee status. Those in receipt of asylum support (accommodation and cash) cease to be entitled to it 28 days after notification of being granted refugee status. During this short period, refugees have to obtain housing and a means to support themselves through employment or welfare benefits. Finding accommodation is particularly difficult since refugees may lack the money to pay the deposit or advance rent. They frequently resort to staying with friends, living in hostels or sleeping rough in the streets.¹¹⁴ Only those deemed vulnerable, generally the disabled or those with children, will get priority access to emergency accommodation.¹¹⁵ Further, refugees must receive a national insurance number before they can access welfare benefits. A British Red Cross report states that moving to mainstream benefits takes much longer than 28 days and many new refugees are at risk of destitution during this time. The organisation calls, among other things, for an extension to 40 days to avoid a break in support.¹¹⁶
114. ECRI recommends taking steps to ensure that refugees do not fall into destitution by prolonging the provision of asylum support until access to welfare benefits is obtained.
115. ECRI considers that greater efforts need to be made to assist newly-arrived refugees and that the lack of a formal strategy is detrimental to their integration.
116. ECRI recommends that a refugee integration strategy is developed in England and Northern Ireland to assist newly-arrived refugees, in particular as concerns housing, employment, access to welfare and learning English, and that refugee integration is systematically evaluated.

- *Other non-citizens*

117. The foreign-born population in the United Kingdom is 13% according to the 2011 census. The main countries of origin are India, Poland, Pakistan and Ireland. However, a large proportion of migrants are naturalised and only 7.4% of foreign-born persons in England and Wales do not have United Kingdom nationality. Immigrants should be covered by any policy for Black and minority ethnic groups, such as 2020 Vision. Many of the issues for members of this group are dealt with under the section above on “Black and minority ethnic” communities.
118. ECRI considers that, while access to citizenship is not strictly speaking an absolute prerequisite for integration, it is often regarded as the last step in a successful integration process and should be possible under reasonable conditions. In its fourth report, ECRI recommended that the effect of the new

¹¹¹ www.unhcr.org/about-us/the-uk-and-asylum.html.

¹¹² Kartalozzi 2014.

¹¹³ Phillimore 2012.

¹¹⁴ Doyle 2014.

¹¹⁵ Allsopp, Sigona and Phillimore 2014.

¹¹⁶ The move-on period; an ordeal for new refugees, www.redcross.org.uk/en/About-us/Advocacy/Refugees/Ending-destitution/The-move-on-period.

rules with respect to the acquisition of citizenship is to assist and not to hinder non-citizens in their part of the process of integration. ECRI is pleased to note that the authorities did not pursue the Earned Citizenship policy, which was due to come into effect in July 2011, and which was highlighted in ECRI's fourth report.¹¹⁷ The rules set out in the British Nationality Act 1981 remain in place.

119. ECRI notes that it is relatively easy for children born in the United Kingdom to non-citizen parents to be naturalised. The law also does not require renunciation of prior citizenships. Adults may qualify for citizenship through at least five years residence or through marriage to a citizen (with three years' residence as a spouse or civil partner). In addition, requirements of "good character" must be met, ability to communicate in English (the level was raised to B1 intermediate as of October 2013), Welsh or Scottish Gaelic, and "knowledge of life in the UK", as assessed by a Life in the UK Test.¹¹⁸ ECRI notes that the United Kingdom has the most expensive citizenship fees in the world: in 2015, these amounted to £1 005 for an adult application. In 2014, 125 800 foreigners naturalised as United Kingdom citizens. 5% of applications were refused, mainly due to failure to meet either the good character requirement or the residence requirement. Only 1% of refusals were for not meeting the language proficiency requirements or knowledge of life in the UK. ECRI notes that the United Kingdom ranked 15th out of 38 countries in the Migration Integration Policy Index 2015.¹¹⁹
120. As already observed, there has been for some time now a negative climate of opinion towards EU migrants. The Prime Minister regularly refers to generous welfare systems being abused by workers from poorer countries, bringing along their families and draining public finances. There is little mention in public debate that EU migration, or migration in general, is overall economically positive for host countries. ECRI encourages those who engage in this debate to ensure that they do not provoke hostility towards migrants themselves.

II. Topics specific to the United Kingdom

1. Interim follow-up recommendations of the fourth cycle

121. In its first interim follow-up recommendation, ECRI reiterated that the authorities should consider how best to ensure that legal aid is available in discrimination cases before Employment Tribunals. In its conclusions adopted on 4 December 2012, ECRI noted that the Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012 only provides for free legal aid for representation in respect of "discrimination-in-employment claims" before the Employment Appeals Tribunal but not the Employment Tribunal. In Northern Ireland legal aid is available for initial advice and assistance but not for representation. ECRI concluded that its recommendation had only been partially implemented in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. As for Scotland, ECRI noted that assistance by way of representation had always been available for discrimination cases before the Employment Tribunal, subject to the Scottish Legal Aid Board's prior approval following an examination on the merits.
122. Since then there have been developments in a negative direction. In July 2013, fees were introduced for claims made to employment tribunals or the Employment Appeals Tribunal, with higher fees for discrimination claims than other types of claim.¹²⁰ This has led to a dramatic drop in the number of cases before such tribunals. The Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) stated that there was an 81% drop in claims in 2014 compared to the same

¹¹⁷ Immigration Matters 2010.

¹¹⁸ Blinder 2015.

¹¹⁹ MIPEX 2015, www.mipex.eu/united-kingdom.

¹²⁰ Equality and Human Rights Commission 2015.

period in the previous year; for racial discrimination claims, the drop was 61%. Moreover, recent statistics from Northern Ireland indicate that those who are unrepresented in discrimination cases at tribunals are more likely to lose than those who do have representation.¹²¹ ECRI is concerned that people who cannot afford the fees may be denied justice. These new costs, as well as limited free legal aid, may be creating impunity for employers, which leads to further abusive labour practices.

123. ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities review the legal aid and fees regime with a view to improving access to justice in discrimination in employment cases.

124. In its second interim recommendation, ECRI strongly encouraged the authorities in their efforts to address the disadvantages faced by Gypsies and Travellers in access to adequate accommodation and recommended that they take all necessary measures to ensure that the assessment of accommodation needs at local level is completed thoroughly and as quickly as possible. In its conclusions of 4 December 2012, ECRI considered that its recommendation had only been partially implemented in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.

125. The first part of the recommendation concerning access to accommodation has been addressed in §§ 93-98. As for the assessment of accommodation needs at local level, ECRI recalls that, in England, the Housing Act 2004 provides specifically that every local housing authority must carry out an assessment of the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers residing in or resorting to their district and prepare a strategy to meet such needs. It notes that the Housing and Planning Bill currently going through Parliament removes all reference to Gypsies and Travellers and only includes a duty to “consider the needs of people” residing in or resorting to their district with respect to the provision of sites on which caravans can be stationed. ECRI is concerned that if the bill is enacted the accommodation needs of these vulnerable communities will be further undermined. In view of the above-mentioned shortage of sites and repeated eviction, such needs assessments are a key part of solving the problem. In this context, ECRI welcomes as best practice the new Housing (Wales) Act 2014, which requires local authorities in Wales to undertake Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments and includes a duty to provide sites where a need has been identified. Further, the Welsh Government has the power to compel local authorities to provide sites where the need has been identified but not met.

126. ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities of England revise the Housing and Planning Bill to ensure that it makes explicit reference to the duty of local housing authorities to assess and meet the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers, taking inspiration from the Housing (Wales) Act 2014.

127. In its third interim recommendation, ECRI encouraged the authorities to continue their efforts to address the under-representation of ethnic minorities in the police and to monitor progress in recruitment, retention and career advancement. ECRI noted, in its conclusions of 4 December 2012, a small rise in England and Wales in the proportion of ethnic minority police officers and better retention rates than for others. However, they were under-represented at senior ranks. It reached the same conclusion in respect of Scotland and Northern Ireland, where various initiatives had been taken to increase diversity in police forces, and concluded that its recommendation had been partially implemented.

¹²¹ Northern Ireland Council for Ethnic Minorities 2013-2014.

128. ECRI notes that further steps have been taken to address this issue. Every police force now has an action plan in place to increase diversity. The College of Policing runs a work programme called BME¹²² Progression 2018 to improve the recruitment, retention and progression of officers from under-represented groups in policing. It also published advice in 2014 for forces on the lawful use of positive action to address under-representation. Police Scotland also runs initiatives to recruit more Black and minority ethnic police officers.
129. According to the authorities, at 31 March 2014, there were 6 715 Black and minority ethnic police officers in England and Wales, up 178 from the previous year. The proportion of Black and minority ethnic officers has remained constant at 5%, while their proportion in the population is around 14%. In London, 11% of officers are from Black and minority ethnic communities, while the latter represent 42% of the general population there. In October 2015, the Home Secretary criticised the diversity of the police in England and Wales, saying that they are “too White”, with not one of the 43 forces looking like the communities they serve. She specified that four forces have no Black officers, 11 have no ethnic minorities above the rank of chief inspector, and there are only two Black and minority ethnic chief officers.¹²³ She encouraged forces to identify areas for improvement.¹²⁴
130. ECRI notes, therefore, that there is still a long way to go in addressing under-representation. It recalls that the new policy 2020 Vision provides for a 20% increase in Black and minority ethnic people in the police. It strongly encourages the authorities to intensify their efforts to achieve this goal.

2. Effectiveness of the Equality and Human Rights Commission

131. In its fourth report, ECRI recommended that the authorities ensure that sufficient financial and human resources are available to the Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) to allow it to fulfil its terms of reference without prejudice to its work on race equality and racial discrimination.
132. As noted above, the EHRC is the body in which three former Commissions, including the Commission for Racial Equality, were merged. In March 2011, the Government carried out a consultation (entitled Building a fairer Britain: Reform of the Equality and Human Rights Commission) in which it set out detailed proposals to reform the EHRC. Almost a thousand responses were received. Most expressed unhappiness with the EHRC’s performance up to that date and over half of the responses called for the EHRC’s abolition. The Government then redefined its remit and limited its activities in order to improve its operational performance. Since 2011-2012, the EHRC has had its budget and workforce halved. However, despite the shakeup and cuts, the EHRC employs around 200 people and has stated that its staff and budget are sufficient to carry out its functions. It does regret, however, that funding was stopped for its helpline and grants programmes.
133. One aspect of concern regarding the EHRC’s role in enforcing the Equality Act 2010 is that it does not collect data on or monitor the application of the act. ECRI has not been able to access any information on the number of discrimination cases filed in court on the grounds under its mandate nor the outcomes of such cases, other than in the field of employment. It considers this a major shortcoming which should be resolved.
134. ECRI strongly recommends that data is collected on the application of the Equality Act 2010, from the filing of a complaint to the final outcome.

¹²² An abbreviation for Black and minority ethnic.

¹²³ The Guardian 2015d.

¹²⁴ Home Office 2015b www.gov.uk/government/speeches/home-secretary-speech-at-nbpa-conference.

3. Policies to combat discrimination and intolerance vis-à-vis LGBT¹²⁵

- Data

135. According to the 2015 Integrated Household Survey, 1.6% of adults identified themselves as gay, lesbian or bisexual, corresponding to some 641 160 persons.¹²⁶ There is no official data on the number of transsexuals. A 2009 study by a transgender organisation estimated their number to be between 300 000 and 500 000.¹²⁷

136. ECRI notes that there has been a significant attitude change towards LGBT persons in the United Kingdom in recent years. The European Union's LGBT survey found that six out of 10 persons interviewed stated that they were very or fairly open about their sexual orientation or gender identity.¹²⁸ Only 18% said that discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation was "very widespread" in their country (the EU average is 31%). According to Rainbow Europe Map 2015 of the International Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans and Intersex Association (ILGA), the United Kingdom comes in first place (out of 49 countries ranked) with a score of 86%. The United Kingdom Parliament has been confirmed as the worldwide leader for the proportion of openly gay, lesbian or bisexual MPs, with roughly 5% of its 650 members.¹²⁹

- Legislative issues

137. As concerns criminal law, in England and Wales, section 146 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 empowers courts to impose tougher sentences for offences motivated or aggravated by the victim's sexual orientation or transgender identity. Stirring up hatred on grounds of sexual orientation, but not gender identity, is also an offence under the Public Order Act 1986.¹³⁰

138. In 2014, the Law Commission looked into whether the aggravated offences in the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (see § 7) should be extended to include hostility on grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity and whether the stirring up of hatred offences under Public Order Act 1986 should be extended to include grounds of gender identity, in order to remove perceived inequality in the current system.¹³¹ It noted the under-use of enhanced sentencing under section 146 and recommended a full-scale review of the operation of the aggravated offences to address failings in the present system before adding further protected characteristics. If such a review was not supported by the Government, it recommended, in the alternative, extending the aggravated offences to include grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity. The Law Commission was against extending the stirring up offences to include gender identity, mainly on account of the very few prosecutions on the existing grounds of racial or religious hatred (see also ECRI's comments in §§ 4-5). There has been no reaction yet from the Government.

139. ECRI recommends that the authorities follow the advice of the Law Commission and review the operation of aggravated offences with a view to including the grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity. It also recommends including

¹²⁵ For terminology, see the definitions set out in Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights 2011.

¹²⁶ Office for National Statistics 2015.

¹²⁷ Reed, Rhodes, Schofield and Wylie 2009.

¹²⁸ European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights 2013.

¹²⁹ Welfare Society Territory 2015, www.west-info.eu/westminster-breaks-its-own-record-for-the-number-of-gay-mps/.

¹³⁰ The offence is identical to stirring up religious hatred, but not racial hatred, since it only covers the use of threatening (but not abusive or insulting) words, behaviour or material.

¹³¹ Law Commission 2014.

gender identity as a ground in the “stirring up hatred” offences and refers to its recommendation in § 51 of this report.

140. In Scotland, stirring up hatred on grounds of sexual orientation, but not gender identity, is an offence under the Public Order Act 1986. The second part of the above recommendation is therefore also addressed to the authorities of Scotland. The Offences (Aggravation by Prejudice) Act 2009 extended the aggravating circumstances to include offences motivated by prejudice relating to a victim’s actual or presumed sexual orientation or transgender identity.
141. In Northern Ireland, the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987, which criminalises “acts intended or likely to stir up hatred or arouse fear”, was extended in 2004 to include sexual orientation, but not gender identity. The Criminal Justice (No 2) (Northern Ireland) Order 2004 allows judges to increase the sentence for any offence proved to have been aggravated by hostility on account of the victim’s membership of a “sexual orientation group”. The above recommendation is addressed also to the authorities of Northern Ireland.
142. Regarding civil and administrative law, the Equality Act 2010 protects people in England, Wales and Scotland from discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity. In Northern Ireland, the Equality Act (Sexual Orientation) Regulations 2006 prohibits discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation in the provision of goods, facilities, services, education, functions of public authorities and the disposal of property. The Gender Reassignment Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1999 make it unlawful to discriminate on grounds of gender reassignment in employment and training and the Sex Discrimination (Amendment of Legislation) Regulations 2008 introduced protection from direct discrimination on grounds of gender reassignment in the provision of goods, facilities, services or premises.
143. ECRI recommends that legislation is enacted in Northern Ireland providing for protection from discrimination on grounds of gender identity.
144. As for family law, the Civil Partnership Act 2004 allows same-sex couples to enter into a civil partnership. According to the Marriage (Same Sex Couples) Act 2013, same-sex couples can also get married, or change their existing civil partnership to a marriage, in England, Wales and Scotland, but not in Northern Ireland. Proposed legislation on equal marriage has been rejected four times by the Northern Ireland Assembly, despite popular support. A survey, carried out by Ipsos MORI in 2015, found that 68% of adults in Northern Ireland believe that same-sex couples should be allowed to marry.¹³² The Gender Recognition Act 2004 applies throughout the United Kingdom enabling transgender people to obtain legal recognition of their acquired gender and to be issued a new birth certificate. There is no requirement to undergo surgery or hormone treatment.
145. As regards asylum, the Refugee or Person in Need of International Protection (Qualification) Regulations 2006 (transposing into domestic law the EU Asylum Qualification Directive) and new immigration rules provide that “a particular social group” may include a group based on a common characteristic of sexual orientation or gender identity.

- **Promoting tolerance and combating discrimination**

146. ECRI notes that employment is the area in which LGBT people experience the least intolerance. According to Eurobarometer 2012, 10% of participants in the United Kingdom expressed the view that a candidate’s sexual orientation (being LGB) would be a disadvantage in recruitment, while 13% stated that the candidate’s gender identity (being trans) would be a disadvantage.¹³³ The scores

¹³² Welfare Society Territory 2015.

¹³³ European Commission 2012: 88.

for both of these categories are amongst the lowest in all EU countries. However, as regards health care, the EU LGBT Survey indicated that 13% of respondents felt personally discriminated against in the last 12 months because of being LGBT by health care personnel (a receptionist, nurse or doctor). This figure is above the EU average which is 10%.¹³⁴ Moreover, the EHRC in a 2015 report refers to a range of barriers for transgender people in accessing National Health Service gender reassignment services, resulting from lack of knowledge on the part of doctors on referring patients to gender identity services or, at worst, doctors allegedly being obstructive and discriminatory.¹³⁵

147. As for access to goods and services for the public, ECRI notes a much publicised case recently decided in Northern Ireland which sends a clear anti-discrimination message. A bakery which had refused to take the order of a gay rights activist for a cake displaying a pro-gay marriage slogan, claiming that it was on account of their deeply held religious beliefs, was taken to court in May 2015 and found guilty of unlawful discrimination by the Belfast County Court. The District Judge noted in her judgement that the defendants were not a religious organisation and conducted a business for profit, therefore it was “direct discrimination for which there is no justification”.¹³⁶
148. Regarding education, the public sector equality duty under the Equality Act 2010 requires schools to advance equality for LGBT pupils and for every school to have a behaviour policy setting out measures to promote respect and to prevent all forms of bullying. However, there is ample evidence indicating that LGBT pupils experience severe bullying and are not always supported by teachers. The EU LGBT Survey found that during their schooling 78% of LGBT persons have always or often witnessed negative comments and conduct against a peer who was perceived to be LGBT.¹³⁷ In July 2013, research conducted by the University of Cambridge found that 55% of LGB pupils in secondary schools experience homophobic bullying and 25% report that teachers do not challenge it.¹³⁸ A study by the National Aids Trust published in March 2015, which questioned more than 1 000 LGB teenagers, found that one in five had been bullied or discriminated against by a teacher on the basis of their sexuality.¹³⁹ ECRI is pleased, therefore, that in 2014 the United Kingdom Government allocated £2 million to a programme to help build schools’ capacity to tackle homophobic, biphobic and transphobic bullying, in cooperation with relevant NGOs,¹⁴⁰ and that a further £1 million was announced in March 2016 to address this type of bullying more effectively.
149. ECRI encourages further efforts to address bullying of LGBT pupils in schools, with special attention given to teacher training.

¹³⁴ <http://fra.europa.eu/DVS/DVT/lgbt.php..>

¹³⁵ Equality and Human Rights Commission 2015.

¹³⁶ Pink News 2015e.

¹³⁷ EU FRA 2012, <http://fra.europa.eu/DVS/DVT/lgbt.php>.

¹³⁸ ILGA Europe 2013.

¹³⁹ National AIDS Trust 2015.

¹⁴⁰ For example, in 2014 Stonewall published an exhaustive guide on tackling homophobic bullying at school. Its Education for All campaign includes training and resources for use in primary and secondary schools, and it has produced a Coming Out guide for young people who think they might be LGB.

INTERIM FOLLOW-UP RECOMMENDATIONS

The two specific recommendations for which ECRI requests priority implementation from the authorities of the United Kingdom are the following:

- ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities of Northern Ireland consolidate equality legislation into a single, comprehensive equality act, taking inspiration from the Equality Act 2010, and taking account of the recommendations of the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland, as well as ECRI's recommendations in § 19 of this report.
- ECRI strongly recommends that data is collected on the application of the Equality Act 2010, from the filing of a complaint to the final outcome.

A process of interim follow-up for these two recommendations will be conducted by ECRI no later than two years following the publication of this report.

LIST OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The position of the recommendations in the text of the report is shown in parentheses.

1. (§ 2) ECRI reiterates its previous recommendations to sign and ratify Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights.
2. (§ 8) ECRI recommends amending the criminal law to add the ground of language to the existing provisions and to include the following offences committed on grounds of race, colour, language, religion, nationality, or national or ethnic origin: public incitement to violence and discrimination; public insults and defamation; threats; the public expression with a racist aim of an ideology which claims the superiority or which depreciates or denigrates a group of persons; the public denial, trivialisation, justification or condoning, with a racist aim, of crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity or war crimes; the production or storage aimed at public dissemination or distribution, of written, pictorial or other material containing manifestations covered by GPR 7 § 18 a, b, c, d and e; the creation or leadership of a group which promotes racism, support for such a group or participation in its activities; and racial discrimination in the exercise of one's public office or occupation.
3. (§ 19) ECRI recommends that the authorities improve the Equality Act by including the following: the protected characteristic of language; announced intention to discriminate; the express duty to ensure that those parties to whom public authorities award contracts, loans, grants, or other benefits respect and promote a policy of non-discrimination; the necessary legal tools to review, on an on-going basis, the conformity with the prohibition of discrimination of all laws, regulations and administrative provisions at national and local levels, and to amend or abrogate those found not to be in conformity with the prohibition of discrimination; the obligation to suppress public financing of organisations or political parties which promote racism and the possibility of dissolution of such organisations.
4. (§ 22) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities of Northern Ireland consolidate equality legislation into a single, comprehensive equality act, taking inspiration from the Equality Act 2010, and taking account of the recommendations of the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland, as well as ECRI's recommendations in § 19 of this report.
5. (§ 39) ECRI calls upon all political parties to take a firm stand against intolerant discourse and instruct their representatives to refrain from making derogatory comments targeting a group of persons on grounds of their "race", religion, citizenship, language, ethnic origin, sexual orientation or gender identity.
6. (§ 51) ECRI recommends that the authorities review the provisions on incitement to hatred with a view to making them more effective and usable.
7. (§ 56) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities find a way to establish an independent press regulator according to the recommendations set out in the Leveson Report. It recommends more rigorous training for journalists to ensure better compliance with ethical standards. It further recommends the authorities to sign and ratify the Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime.
8. (§ 58) ECRI recommends amending the Editor's Code of Practice to ensure that members of groups can submit complaints as victims against biased or prejudicial reporting concerning their community.
9. (§ 69) ECRI recommends that data are gathered on the application of enhanced sentencing under sections 145 and 146 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and, where imposed, that this should be duly recorded, including on the criminal

records of offenders. Data should also be collected on where aggravated offences and enhanced sentencing have been invoked and then dropped through the process of accepting guilty pleas. ECRI also recommends that steps are taken to narrow the gap between hate crime recorded by the police and that referred for prosecution.

10. (§ 80) ECRI reiterates its recommendation to intensify the recruitment of Black and minority ethnic teachers, in order for teaching staff to reflect better the communities they serve, and retain them in the teaching profession.
11. (§ 84) In view of the continued disadvantage of certain Black and minority ethnic groups in education and employment, ECRI encourages the authorities to find concrete ways to achieve their 2020 Vision goals. It also strongly recommends setting similar goals in health care, with a particular focus on eliminating any racial discrimination in mental health care.
12. (§ 88) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities establish a real dialogue with Muslims in order to combat Islamophobia. They should consult them on all policies which could affect Muslims.
13. (§ 98) ECRI recommends that the new planning definition in England of Gypsy and Traveller is replaced with the previous one of 2012, that sufficient pitches are provided according to the needs of these communities, and that alternatives to eviction, such as the negotiated stopping policy in the city of Leeds, are promoted and replicated elsewhere.
14. (§ 104) ECRI recommends that the licencing regime under the Scrap Metal Dealers Act 2013 is revised to allow Gypsies and Travellers to apply for licences on a regional rather than local basis to allow them to pursue this trade across a range of local authorities without incurring excessive fees.
15. (§ 109) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities draw up, in consultation with Gypsy, Traveller and Roma groups, a detailed programme of integration strategies and measures to address the disadvantage suffered by all three of these communities in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, including concrete targets, timeframes, and resources, in all areas of daily life, such as education, employment, health care and accommodation, in particular addressing the shortage of caravan sites.
16. (§ 114) ECRI recommends taking steps to ensure that refugees do not fall into destitution by prolonging the provision of asylum support until access to welfare benefits is obtained.
17. (§ 116) ECRI recommends that a refugee integration strategy is developed in England and Northern Ireland to assist newly-arrived refugees, in particular as concerns housing, employment, access to welfare and learning English, and that refugee integration is systematically evaluated.
18. (§ 123) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities review the legal aid and fees regime with a view to improving access to justice in discrimination in employment cases.
19. (§ 126) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities of England revise the Housing and Planning Bill to ensure that it makes explicit reference to the duty of local housing authorities to assess and meet the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers, taking inspiration from the Housing (Wales) Act 2014.
20. (§ 134) ECRI strongly recommends that data is collected on the application of the Equality Act 2010, from the filing of a complaint to the final outcome.
21. (§ 139) ECRI recommends that the authorities follow the advice of the Law Commission and review the operation of aggravated offences with a view to including the grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity. It also

recommends including gender identity as a ground in the “stirring up hatred” offences and refers to its recommendation in § 51 of this report.

22. (§ 143) ECRI recommends that legislation is enacted in Northern Ireland providing for protection from discrimination on grounds of gender identity.
23. (§ 149) ECRI encourages further efforts to address bullying of LGBT pupils in schools, with special attention given to teacher training.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

This bibliography lists the main published sources used during the examination of the situation in the United Kingdom. It should not be considered as an exhaustive list of all sources of information available to ECRI during the preparation of the report.

European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI)

1. ECRI (2013), Conclusions on the implementation of the recommendations in respect of the United Kingdom subject to interim follow-up, (CRI(2013)6).
2. ECRI (2010), Fourth report on the United Kingdom, (CRI(2010)4).
3. ECRI (2005), Third report on the United Kingdom, CRI(2005)27.
4. ECRI (2001a), Second report on the United Kingdom, CRI(2001)6.
5. ECRI (1999), Report on the United Kingdom, CRI(99)5.
6. ECRI (1996), General Policy Recommendation No. 1: Combating racism, xenophobia, antisemitism and intolerance, CRI(96)43.
7. ECRI (1997), General Policy Recommendation No. 2: Specialised bodies to combat racism, xenophobia, antisemitism and intolerance at national level, CRI(97)36.
8. ECRI (1998a), General Policy Recommendation No. 3: Combating racism and intolerance against Roma/Gypsies, CRI(98)29.
9. ECRI (1998b), General Policy Recommendation No. 4: National surveys on the experience and perception of discrimination and racism from the point of view of potential victims, CRI(98)30.
10. ECRI (2000), General Policy Recommendation No. 5: Combating intolerance and discrimination against Muslims, CRI(2000)21.
11. ECRI (2001b), General Policy Recommendation No. 6: Combating the dissemination of racist, xenophobic and antisemitic material via the Internet, CRI(2001)1.
12. ECRI (2003), General Policy Recommendation No. 7: National legislation to combat racism and racial discrimination, CRI(2003)8.
13. ECRI (2004a), General Policy Recommendation No.8: Combating racism while fighting terrorism, CRI(2004)26.
14. ECRI (2004b), General Policy Recommendation No.9: The fight against antisemitism, CRI(2004)37.
15. ECRI (2007a), General Policy Recommendation No.10: Combating racism and racial discrimination in and through school education, CRI(2007)6.
16. ECRI (2007b), General Policy Recommendation No.11: Combating racism and racial discrimination in policing, CRI(2007)39.
17. ECRI (2009), General Policy Recommendation No. 12: Combating racism and racial discrimination in the field of sport, CRI(2009)5.
18. ECRI (2011), General Policy Recommendation No.13: Combating anti-Gypsyism and discrimination against Roma, CRI(2011)37.
19. ECRI (2012), General Policy Recommendation No.14: Combating racism and racial discrimination in employment, 2012, CRI(2012)48.
20. ECRI (2016a), General Policy Recommendation No. 15: Combating Hate Speech, CRI(2016)15.
21. ECRI (2016b), General Policy Recommendation No. 16: Safeguarding irregularly present migrants from discrimination, CRI(2016)16.

Other sources

22. Aberdeen local development plan (2013), Supplementary Guidance, Topic: Gypsy and Traveller Sites.
23. Ali, S. and Gidley, B. (2014), Advancing outcomes for all minorities, Experiences of mainstreaming immigrant integration policy in the United Kingdom, Migration Policy Institute.
24. All-Party Parliamentary Group against Antisemitism (2013, October), Report of the All-Party Parliamentary Inquiry into Electoral Conduct.

25. All-Party Parliamentary Group against Antisemitism (2015, May), All-Party Parliamentary Inquiry into Electoral Conduct, 2015 General Election Update.
26. Allsopp, J., Sigona, N. and Phillimore, J., (2014), Poverty among refugees and asylum seekers in the UK, An evidence and policy review, University of Birmingham, Iris Working Paper Series, No. 1/2014.
27. Ammer, M., Crowley, N., Liegl, B., Holzleithner, E., Wladasch, K and Yesilkagit, K. (2010), Study on Equality Bodies set up under Directives 2000/43/EC, 2004/113/EC and 2006/54/EC, Synthesis Report.
28. Association of Chief Police Officers (2014), Freedom of Information Request www.npcc.police.uk/documents/FoI%20publication/Disclosure%20Logs/Uniformed%20Operations%20FOI/2013/117%2013%20ACPO%20Response%20-%20Channel%20Project%20Referrals.pdf
29. Athwal, H. and Burnett, J. (2014), Investigated or ignored ? An analysis of race-related deaths since the Macpherson Report, Institute of Race Relations 2014.
30. Awan, I. (2014) 'Islamophobia on Twitter: A Typology of Online Hate Against Muslims on Social Media' Policy & Internet 6 (2).
31. Awan, I. and Zempi, I. (2015), We fear for our lives, Offline and Online experiences of anti-Muslim hostility, Birmingham City University, Centre for Applied Criminology.
32. BBC (2014), First same-sex weddings take place in Scotland, www.bbc.com/news/uk-scotland-30637608.
33. BBC (2015, July 30), David Cameron criticised over migrant "swarm" language, www.bbc.com/news/uk-politics-33716501.
34. Belfast Live (2015), Official: Anti-gay hate crime IS on the rise in Northern Ireland, www.belfastlive.co.uk/news/belfast-news/official-anti-gay-hate-crime-rise-9129786.
35. Blinder, S. (2015), Naturalisation as a British citizen: Concepts and trends, The Migration Observatory at the University of Oxford.
36. British Council (2015), English as an additional language (EAL), www.britishcouncil.org/education/schools/support-for-languages/eal.
37. Brown, P. and Niner, P. (2009), Assessing local housing authorities' progress in meeting the accommodation needs of Gypsy and Traveller communities in England, Research report: 13 Equality and Human Rights Commission.
38. Burnet, J. (2013), Racial violence: facing reality, Institute of Race Relations.
39. Care Quality Commission (2014), Monitoring of the Mental Health Act in 2012/13.
40. Cathcart, B. (2013), IPSO, Not independent and not effective, Hacked Off - the campaign for free and accountable press, <http://hackinginquiry.org/comment/ipso-not-independent-and-not-effective/>.
41. Chakraborti, N. and Hardy, S-J (2015), LGB&T Hate Crime Reporting, Identifying Barriers and Solutions, University of Leicester.
42. Council of Europe, Advisory Committee on the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities (ACFC) (2011), Third Opinion on the United Kingdom, adopted on 30 June 2011, ACFC/OP/III(2011)006.
43. Council of Europe, ACFC (2015), Fourth Report submitted by United Kingdom pursuant to Article 25, paragraph 2 of the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities, received on 26 March 2015, ACFC/SR/IV(2015)004.
44. Council of Europe, Ad Hoc Committee of Experts on Roma Issues (CAHROM) (2013a), Thematic Report on encampment areas and other issues relating to Travellers (further to the CAHROM thematic visit to Belgium from 20 to 22 February 2013), CAHROM (2013)6,
45. Council of Europe, CAHROM (2013b), Thematic Report on combating anti-Gypsyism, hate speech and hate crime against Roma, CAHROM(2013)21.
46. Council of Europe, Commissioner for Human Rights (2011), Discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity in Europe, 2nd edition.
47. Council of Europe, Commissioner for Human Rights (2016), Memorandum on surveillance and oversight mechanisms in the United Kingdom.
48. Council of Europe, Committee of Ministers (2010), Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)5 on measures to combat discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity.

49. Council of Europe, Steering Committee for Human Rights (CDDH) (2013), Follow-up to Committee of Ministers' Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)05 on measures to combat discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity, [http://www.coe.int/t/dghl/standardsetting/hrpolicy/others_issues/lgbt/Questionnaire/CDDH\(2013\)004_FIN.pdf](http://www.coe.int/t/dghl/standardsetting/hrpolicy/others_issues/lgbt/Questionnaire/CDDH(2013)004_FIN.pdf).
50. College of Policing (2014), Hate Crime Operational Guidance.
51. Community Security Trust (2014), Antisemitic Incidents Report.
52. Creese, B. and Lader, D. (2014) Hate Crimes, England and Wales, 2013/14, Home Office Statistical Bulletin 02/14
53. Crown Prosecution Service (2014), Hate crime and crimes against older people report 2013-2014.
54. Decade of Roma Inclusion Secretariat Foundation (2014), Civil Society Monitoring report on the Implementation of the National Roma Integration Strategy in the United Kingdom in 2012 and 2013.
55. Department for Communities and Local Government (2012), Creating the conditions for integration.
56. Department for Communities and Local Government (2015), Planning policy for Traveller sites.
57. Department for Communities and Local Government April, 2012 Progress report by the ministerial working group on tackling inequalities experienced by Gypsies and Travellers.
58. Doyle, L. (2014), Refugee Council, 28 days later: experiences of new refugees in the UK.
59. Duggan, M. (2014), The Strengths and Limitations of Enhanced Sentencing for Hate Crimes, www.internationalhatestudies.com/strengths-limitations-enhanced-sentencing-hate-crimes/.
60. Equality and Human Rights Commission (2013), Gypsy Travellers in Scotland.
61. Equality and Human Rights Commission (2015), Is Britain Fairer?
62. Equality Commission for Northern Ireland (2008), Every Child An Equal Child.
63. Equality Commission for Northern Ireland (2012), Do you Mean Me? Discrimination: Attitudes and Experience in Northern Ireland, Equality Awareness Survey 2011.
64. Equality Commission for Northern Ireland (2014), Strengthening protection against racial discrimination: Recommendations for law reform (full report).
65. European Commission, DG Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion via DG Justice Framework Contract (2013), A fact finding analysis on the impact on the Member States' social security systems of the entitlements of non-active intra-EU migrants to special non-contributory cash benefits and healthcare granted on the basis of residence, [DG Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion via DG Justice Framework Contract, Final report submitted by ICF GHK in association with Milieu Ltd, A fact finding analysis on the impact on the Member States' social security systems of the entitlements of non-active intra-EU migrants to special non-contributory cash benefits and healthcare granted on the basis of residence.](#)
66. European Commission, Directorate-General for Justice (2013), The European Union and Roma – Country Factsheets, United Kingdom.
67. European Commission, Directorate-General for Justice, Council Conclusions on an EU Framework Strategy for Roma Integration up to 2020: United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, http://ec.europa.eu/justice/discrimination/files/roma_uk_strategy_en.pdf
68. European Commission - Directorate-General for Justice (2014b), Report on the implementation of the EU framework for National Roma Integration Strategies.
69. European Commission (2014c), Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of Council Framework Decision 2008/913/JHA on combating certain forms and expressions of racism and xenophobia by means of criminal law (SWD(2014) 27 final, Brussels, 27.1.2014, COM(2014) 27 final.
70. European Roma and Travellers Forum (2015), Fact Sheet on the Situation of Roma/Gypsies/Travellers in the United Kingdom.
71. European Union (2014), Roma Health Report, Health status of the Roma population.

72. European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) (2012), Survey data explorer - LGBT Survey 2012 <http://fra.europa.eu/DVS/DVT/lgbt.php>.
73. Faith Matters (2014), Facebook Report: Rotheram, hate and the far-right online.
74. Feldman, M. and Litter, M (2014), Tell MAMA Reporting 2013/14: Anti-Muslim Overview, Analysis and 'Cumulative Extremism', Teesside University.
75. Feldman, M. and Litter, M (2015), Tell MAMA Reporting 2014/15: Annual Monitoring, Cumulative Extremism and Policy Implications.
76. FRANET National Focal Point: Social Thematic Study: The Situation of Roma, University of Nottingham, as reported in the European Commission's 2014 Roma Health Report, Health status of the Roma population, Data collection in the Member States of the European Union.
77. Hate Speech International (2015), Investigating extremism, Hating Muslims, Anti-Muslim hate crimes and terrorism, 2010-2014 at www.hate-speech.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/HATING-MUSLIMS-single-page-pdf-version.pdf.
78. Home Office (2015a), Crime Outcomes in England and Wales 2014/15, Statistical Bulletin 01/15.
79. Home Office, Home Secretary (2015b, October 22), Theresa May speaks at the National Black Policing Association's conference about a one nation approach to policing, www.gov.uk/government/speeches/home-secretary-speech-at-nbpa-conference.
80. Huffington Post (2016), www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/dr-chris-allen/anti-muslim-hatred-working-group_b_6064866.html.
81. Iganski, P. (2015), Hate crimes hurt some more than others: implications for the just sentencing of offenders.
82. ILGA Europe (2013), Annual Review 2013 – United Kingdom.
83. ILGA-Europe (2014), Annual Review of the Human Rights Situation of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans and Intersex People in Europe 2014.
84. Immigration Matters (2010), UK Government to Scrap Earned Citizenship, 9 November 2010. <http://immigrationmatters.co.uk/uk-government-to-scrap-earned-citizenship.html>.
85. Johnson, C. and Ryder, A. (2015), New government measures on Gypsies and Travellers on a collision course with human rights, Institute of Race Relations, www.irr.org.uk/news/new-government-measures-on-gypsies-and-travellers-on-a-collision-course-with-human-rights/
86. Kartalozzi, I. (2014), 21st Century London Outcasts: Welfare Reforms and Their Impacts on Refugee Families living in London, Centre for Social Justice and Change, University of East London, Working Paper Series No. 1.
87. Leveson, Right Honourable Lord Justice (2012), An inquiry into the culture, practices and ethics of the press, Executive summary.
88. LGBT Foundation, Coming out support, <http://lgbt.foundation/information-advice/coming-out-support/>.
89. LGBT Youth Scotland (2013), Education Report as part of its Life in Scotland for LGBT Young People research.
90. Littler, M. and Feldman, M. (2015), Teesside University, Centre for Fascist, Anti-Fascist and Post-Fascist Studies.
91. MacAttram M. (2015), The Voice Newspaper 'Skin colour should not determine quality of our healthcare', www.voice-online.co.uk/article/skin-colour-should-not-determine-quality-our-healthcare.
92. Mashable UK (2015), Anti-semitism in Britain hits record high, <http://mashable.com/2015/02/05/anti-semitism-rise-uk/>.
93. MigRom, The immigration of Romanian Roma to Western Europe: Causes, effects, and future engagement strategies, Report on the Extended Survey, The University of Manchester, June 2015, by Yaron Matras, Daniele Viktor Leggio, Ramona Constantin, Leo Tanase, Mirela Sutac.
94. Migrant Integration Policy Index (MIPEX) (2015), United Kingdom 2014, www.mipex.eu/united-kingdom.

95. Murray, C. (2012, November 28), Flagship work programme a "miserable failure", Reuters UK, ["Flagship work programme a miserable failure"](#).
96. Muslim Engagement and Development (2015), *"Tolerance and respect: preventing and combating anti-Semitic and anti-Muslim hatred in Europe"*, Annual Colloquium on Fundamental Rights, Questions for consultation with Stakeholders.
97. National AIDS Trust (2015), Boys Who Like Boys, www.nat.org.uk/media/Files/Publications/Boys_Who_Like_Boys.pdf
98. National Association for Language Development in the Curriculum (2015), www.naldic.org.uk/research-and-information/eal-statistics/eal-teaching
99. New Statesman (2015, March 5), This government has done nothing to stop a rising tide of a racial hatred, www.newstatesman.com/politics/2015/03/government-has-done-nothing-stop-rising-tide-racial-hatred.
100. Northern Ireland Council for Ethnic Minorities, The Annual Human Rights and Racial Equality Benchmarking Report 2013-2014.
101. Northern Ireland Office and The Rt Hon Theresa Villiers MP (2015, February 26), Written statement to Parliament, Villiers: The Northern Ireland security situation, Delivered on 26 February 2015 to the House of Commons, www.gov.uk/government/speeches/villiers-the-northern-ireland-security-situation accessed on 29 June 2015.
102. Office for National Statistics, October 2015.
103. Open Democracy (2012, October 12), What do British people think of the English Defence League, ["What do the British people think of the English Defence League?"](#).
104. Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE), Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR) (2015), Hate Crime Reporting – United Kingdom, <http://hatecrime.osce.org/united-kingdom> , last accessed 22 June 2015.
105. PewResearch Centre (2014), A Fragile Rebound for EU Image on Eve of European Parliament Elections, EU Favourability Rises, but Majorities Say Their Voice Is Not Heard in Brussels.
106. Phillimore, J. (2012), Implementing integration in the UK: lessons for integration theory, policy and practice.
107. Pink News (2015a, July 24), 'Tim Farron' compares gay people to frogs on Twitter <http://www.pinknews.co.uk/2015/07/24/tim-farron-compares-gay-people-to-frogs-on-twitter/>.
108. Pink News (2015b, June 25), Speaker John Bercow: Reverend who compared gay people to paedophiles is 'blinker' and 'misguided', www.pinknews.co.uk/2015/06/25/speaker-john-bercow-reverend-who-compared-gay-people-to-paedophiles-is-blinker-and-misguided/.
109. Pink News (2015c, June 16), Cross-dresser viciously assaulted in east London while gang chanted 'kill him', www.pinknews.co.uk/2015/06/16/cross-dresser-viciously-assaulted-in-east-london-while-gang-chanted-kill-him/.
110. Pink News (2015d, June 11), Man threatened to burn lesbian couple's house down with their kids inside, www.pinknews.co.uk/2015/06/11/man-threatened-to-burn-lesbian-couples-house-down-with-their-kids-inside/.
111. Pink News (2015e, May 19), Ashers Bakery found guilty of discrimination in 'gay cake' row, www.pinknews.co.uk/2015/05/19/ashers-bakery-found-guilty-of-discrimination-in-gay-cake-row/.
112. Pink News (2015f, June 22), British Cabinet Office to fly rainbow flag for Pride in London, www.pinknews.co.uk/2015/06/22/british-cabinet-office-to-fly-rainbow-flag-for-pride-in-london/.
113. Politics.co.uk (2015, March 20), Comment: When will we treat anti-Gypsy comments like the racism they are?, www.politics.co.uk/comment-analysis/2015/03/20/comment-when-will-we-treat-anti-gypsy-comments-like-the-rac.
114. Red Cross, The move-on period; and ordeal for new refugees, <http://www.redcross.org.uk/en/About-us/Advocacy/Refugees/Ending-destitution/The-move-on-period>.

115. Reed B., Rhodes S., Schofield P. and Wylie K. (2009), Gender Identity Research and Education Society, www.gires.org.uk/assets/Medpro-Assets/GenderVarianceUK-report.pdf.
116. Ryder, A. and Cemlyn, S. (2014), Civil Society Monitoring Report on the Implementation of the National Roma Integration Strategy in the United Kingdom in 2012 and 2013, prepared by National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Groups.
117. Shelter Scotland (2016), about Gypsies/Travellers, http://scotland.shelter.org.uk/get_advice/advice_topics/finding_a_place_to_live/gypsiestravellers/about_gypsiestravellers.
118. Sims, J. M. (2012-2013), ENAR Shadow Report, Racism and related discriminatory practices in employment in the United Kingdom.
119. Spence, D. (2014, November 1), Why online Islamophobia is difficult to stop. Laws not strong enough to police it, say experts, CBC News, www.cbc.ca/news/why-online-islamophobia-is-difficult-to-stop-1.2810242
120. Stonewall (2015), Education resources, Resources to tackle homophobic, biphobic and transphobic (HBT) bullying in education environments and help create more inclusive spaces, www.stonewall.org.uk/at_school/education_for_all/quick_links/education_resources/4007.asp.
121. Sunak, R. and Rajeswaran, S. (2014), A Portrait of Modern Britain, Policy Exchange.
122. Sundas, A. and Gidley, B. (2014), Advancing Outcomes for all Minorities, Experiences of Mainstreaming Immigrant Integration Policy in the United Kingdom, Migration Policy Institute Europe.
123. The Guardian (2013, March 29), European watchdog accuses Britain of shameful rhetoric on migrants www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2013/mar/29/eu-watchdog-britain-shameful-rhetoric-migrants.
124. The Guardian (2014, October 27), 'Swamped' and 'riddled': the toxic words that wreck public discourse, <http://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2014/oct/27/swamped-and-riddled-toxic-phrases-wreck-politics-immigration-michael-fallon>.
125. The Guardian (2015a, June 17), Hate crimes against Muslims in Britain spike after 'jihadi' attacks, study finds, <http://www.theguardian.com/society/2015/jun/17/hate-crimes-against-muslims-britain-spike-jihadi-attacks-study>.
126. The Guardian (2015c, June 19), Vicar likens homosexuality to paedophilia and adultery, www.theguardian.com/world/2015/jun/19/hull-vicar-likens-homosexuality-paedophilia-adultery.
127. The Guardian (2015d, October 22), Theresa May: police forces are 'too white', www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2015/oct/21/theresa-may-criticises-police-chief-bernard-hogan-howe-stop-search-race-record
128. The Guardian (2015e, September 23), 'You worry they could take your kids': is the Prevent strategy demonising Muslim schoolchildren?, <http://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2015/sep/23/prevent-counter-terrorism-strategy-schools-demonising-muslim-children>
129. The Guardian (2016a, January 18) Muslim women to be taught English in £20m plan to beat 'backward attitudes', <http://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2016/jan/18/muslim-women-to-be-taught-english-in-20m-plan-to-beat-backward-attitudes>.
130. The Guardian (2016b, January 18), Cameron's government and British Muslims: a difficult relationship <http://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/jan/18/david-cameron-conservatives-british-muslims-difficult-relationship>.
131. The Guardian (2016c, January 20), I live in a 'terrorist house': police speak to Muslim boy, 10, over spelling error, www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2016/jan/20/i-live-in-a-terrorist-house-police-speak-to-muslim-boy-10-over-spelling-error.
132. The Independent (2013), Flood of Romanian and Bulgarian migrants 'unlikely', says report.
133. The Independent (2015a, March 12), Nigel Farage: "Some Muslims want to kill us".
134. The Independent (2015b, April 5), Headteachers urged to recruit more black and ethnic minority teachers, www.independent.co.uk/news/education/education-

[news/headteachers-urged-to-recruit-more-black-and-ethnic-minority-teachers-10157044.html](https://www.theguardian.com/news/2014/sep/11/headteachers-urged-to-recruit-more-black-and-ethnic-minority-teachers-10157044.html).

135. The Law Commission (2014), Hate Crime: Should the current offences be extended?
136. The Leicester Hate Crime Project, www2.le.ac.uk/departments/criminology/hate/research/copy_of_project.
137. The Telegraph (2012), Iain Duncan Smith's Work Programme 'worse than doing nothing', "[Iain Duncan Smith's Work Programme 'worse than doing nothing'](https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/education/education-news/10157044/Iain-Duncan-Smiths-Work-Programme-worse-than-doing-nothing/)".
138. The Telegraph (2013, August 9), Travellers shouldn't be able to 'trash' green belt, says Eric Pickles.
139. The Telegraph (2015, June 23), Hate crime is an everyday reality for gay people, expert warns.
140. Third Sector (2015), Travellers negotiate an end to 'punitive' evictions in Leeds, www.thirdsector.co.uk/travellers-negotiate-end-punitive-evictions-leeds/local-action/article/1361424.
141. United Nations, Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD), Seventy-ninth session, 8 August-2 September 2011, Consideration of reports submitted by States parties under article 9 of the Convention, Concluding observations, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, CERD/C/GBR/CO/18-20.
142. United Nations, High Commissioner for Human Rights(2015a, April 24), UN Human Rights Chief urges U.K. to tackle tabloid hate speech, after migrants called "cockroaches", www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=15885&LangID=E
143. United Nations, High Commissioner for Human Rights (2015b), Workshop on rights of Travellers/Gens du Voyage, Brussels, 30 June 2015.
144. UN News Center (2015, April 24), UN rights chief urges UK to curb tabloid hate speech, end 'decades of abuse' targeting migrants, <http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=50675#.VT386iGqqko>.
145. United Nations Refugee Agency (UNHCR), The facts - asylum in the United Kingdom, <http://www.unhcr.org.uk/about-us/the-uk-and-asylum.html>.
146. Ward, H. (2014), Concerns that School Direct not recruiting enough ethnic minority trainees, Times Educational Supplement, www.tes.co.uk/news/school-news/breaking-news/concerns-school-direct-not-recruiting-enough-ethnic-minority-trainees.
147. Welfare Society Territory (2015), Westminster breaks its own record for the number of gay MPs www.west-info.eu/westminster-breaks-its-own-record-for-the-number-of-gay-mps/.
148. Welfare Society Territory (2015), Northern Ireland wants a change in the law to allow same-sex marriage, www.west-info.eu/northern-ireland-wants-a-change-in-the-law-to-allow-same-sex-marriage/.

APPENDIX: GOVERNMENT'S VIEWPOINT

The following appendix does not form part of ECRI's analysis and proposals concerning the situation in the United Kingdom

ECRI, in accordance with its country monitoring procedure, engaged in confidential dialogue with the authorities of the United Kingdom on a first draft of the report. A number of the authorities' comments were taken on board and integrated into the report's final version (which only takes into account developments up until 17 March 2016, date of the examination of the first draft).

The authorities also requested that the following viewpoint be reproduced as an appendix to the report.

UK Government response to the 5th report on the United Kingdom by the European Commission against Racism and Intolerance

The United Kingdom Government welcomes this opportunity to respond to the 5th report on this country by the European Commission against Racism and Intolerance. We welcome much that is in the Commission's report and in particular its acknowledgements of the progress that has been made since their 4th report of March 2010. We were pleased that the Commission were able to meet a wide range of officials and non-Governmental organisations during their visit to the UK in November 2015.

The United Kingdom has long been a country of inward and outward migration, and we are now a very diverse society. Some 13 per cent of our population identify as belonging to an ethnic minority and members of our ethnic minority communities have made an enormous contribution to the United Kingdom's social, economic, political and cultural life.

Our surveys tell us that the vast majority of people in the United Kingdom believe that people from different backgrounds get along well with each other in their local area, and this is consistent across all major ethnic groups. In recent years, members of African, Caribbean and Asian communities have made their way to the top in many different areas: in business, in sport, in the arts, in Government, and in Parliament. The UK is regarded as one of the best countries in Europe to be gay, lesbian, trans and intersex.¹

Since the Commission's last report on the United Kingdom in March 2010, equalities legislation in England, Wales and Scotland is now contained within a single equality act, which covers nine protected characteristics, including race and sexual orientation. The Equality Act also places a positive duty on public bodies to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination and promote equality of opportunity and good relations in their public functions. While the Government is proud of our equalities legislation, we know that, on its own, legislation is not enough. This Government is committed to creating a fair society in which all people, of whatever ethnic origin or background, are valued and able to participate fully and realise their own potential. The Prime Minister could not have been clearer about her determination on this issue, from the very moment she took office.

On 27 August 2016, the Prime Minister announced an audit to tackle racial disparities in public service outcomes. The audit will:

- Review Government data to identify racial inequalities in outcomes from contact with public services, and any gaps in data collection
- Publish all data in a single place so that the public can search the data to show inequalities in outcomes by geography, age or social-economic category;

The aim of the audit is to ensure a clearer focus on overall outcomes and experiences, exposing the multiple disadvantages faced by Black and Minority Ethnic groups across society, with transparency driving change. As Home Secretary, the Prime Minister published stop and search data that revealed people from black and ethnic minority communities were seven times more likely to be stopped and searched than their white counterparts. The publication helped hold police forces to account and the ratio has now reduced to four times more likely, with the aim for a continued fall.

On 26 July 2016, the Government published its hate crime action plan: *Action Against Hate: the UK Government's plan for tackling hate crime*. The Hate Crime Action Plan has three main themes:

- Preventing hate crime before it happens
- Increasing reporting
- Improving the service to victims

¹ <https://rainbow-europe.org/country-ranking>

The plan includes action to tackle hate crime and incidents on-line, on public transport and in schools. The Plan includes support for True Vision an on-line reporting facility; the Anne Frank Trust, which works with young people to help them challenge prejudice and hatred; Streetwise, which tackles so-called 'casual' anti-Muslim and antisemitism in our school's playgrounds; and Tell MAMA which monitors and support victims of anti-Muslim hate crime. The plan was discussed and endorsed by key community stakeholders including, the Cross Government Working Group on Antisemitism, the anti-Muslim Hatred Working Group, the Gypsy and Traveller Liaison Group and the Independent Advisory Group on Hate Crime.

In Wales, the Welsh Government have published a Tackling Hate Crime Framework, given a 20 per cent increase in recorded hate crimes across Wales in 2014-15, compared to previous year. The 'Tackling Hate Crimes and Incidents: A Framework for Action' sets out the Welsh Government's commitment to challenge hostility and prejudice. The Framework includes three objectives on prevention, support and improving the multi-agency response. A Delivery Plan has been developed across Welsh Government departments, where tackling hostility and prejudice is being embedded across a number of key policy areas such as housing, health, social services and sport and culture. Progress and actions are updated annually to demonstrate progress.

An Independent Advisory Group on Hate Crime plays a key role in monitoring and tracking progress of the Framework and Delivery Plan. The group has recently collected evidence from communities on how effectively hate crime and incidents are being tackled in Wales and whether the Framework is having a positive impact. This was published in December 2015 and the recommendations from this report will help shape the Delivery Plan for 2016-17.

The Welsh Government once again supported Hate Crime Awareness Week in 2015 including hosting a national conference on cyber hate and bullying in the Age of Social Media, delivered in partnership with Cardiff University. The recommendations within the Cardiff University report will be embedded within the Hate Crime Framework Delivery Plan for 2016-17.

Prior to July 2016, the UK Government set out a series of goals to improve opportunity for Black and Minority Ethnic people by the end of the current Parliament in 2020. Under this 2020 Vision, the Government aims to see a 20 per cent increase in black and minority ethnic people in employment; 20 per cent of new apprenticeships to Black and Minority Ethnic young people; 20 per cent more Black and Minority Ethnic people going to university; and increasing the proportion of Black and Minority Ethnic people entering our police forces and armed services.

The employment rate for Black and Minority Ethnic groups is at a record high of 61.4 per cent. Half a million more people from ethnic minorities are in work in Great Britain than there were in 2010. That is an increase of around 20 per cent in the past five years, but we must go much further. That is why we have made a commitment to increase Black and Minority Ethnic employment by a further 20 per cent by 2020. That challenge is critical to achieving our full employment objective, ensuring that British business makes the most of the talent and potential that exists in all communities in the United Kingdom.

Building on the 2020 Vision, the Government announced further initiatives to enhance race equality and tackle barriers faced by Black and Minority Ethnic communities. A senior parliamentarian, David Lammy MP, is leading a review of the Criminal Justice System in England and Wales to investigate evidence of possible bias against Black defendants and other ethnic minorities, reporting in 2017. With significant overrepresentation of Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic individuals in the criminal justice system, the review will consider their treatment and outcomes to identify and help tackle potential bias or prejudice. Universities are being required to publish admissions and retention data by gender, ethnic background and socio-economic class. The intention is to enshrine the duty in legislation. Under the proposal, all universities will have a new 'transparency duty', part of a drive to highlight those institutions failing to improve access. Another parliamentarian, Baroness McGregor-Smith, is leading a review looking at the issues faced by businesses in developing Black and Minority Ethnic talent, from when they start work through to executive level.

In England alone we have spent over £60 million on our integration programme since 2010. We have delivered over 30 projects since April 2014, reaching over 554,000 people, providing over 12,000 volunteering opportunities and hosting 6,800 community events. Examples of successful projects include:

- £8 million over 3 years to support 39,800 adults with the lowest levels of English get the training they need so they can play a full part in society.
- Over £5 million since 2010-11 to the Holocaust Memorial Day Trust, which in January 2016 alone delivered over 5,590 local commemorative events.
- £8 million since 2011 (with a further £1.5 million committed this year) to the Near Neighbours programme to deliver over 1000 local projects bringing different faith and ethnic groups together and reaching over 940,000 people.

In July 2015 the then Prime Minister announced that Dame Louise Casey will be carrying out a review on how to boost opportunity and integration in the most isolated and deprived communities in Britain. Dame Louise's report will inform plans for funding a new Cohesive Communities programme. Dame Louise Casey is considering issues such as: how we can ensure people learn English; how we can improve academic and employment outcomes, especially for women; and how state agencies can work more effectively with these communities to promote integration and community cohesion.

In light of this activity, we are disappointed that the Commission has missed some important opportunities to highlight examples of good practice in its report. We believe that this is an important part of the Commission's mission. While we are pleased to see that some examples of good practice in the United Kingdom are identified, this is heavily outweighed by lengthy sections pointing out supposed shortcomings. We are particularly concerned to see that the Commission repeats controversial and eye-catching press headlines and some alleged statements by politicians, which wrongly implies that these are the prevailing narratives in the United Kingdom and/or are government policy, when there are numerous cases of leading politicians celebrating and speaking out positively about the enormous contributions that minorities have made to this country's success. It is also unclear whether the Commission is making its assessments against a common set of standards, and if so, what these are. We would like some assurance that the United Kingdom is being assessed against the same standards as its partners across the Council of Europe membership.

Response to the recommendations in the 5th report on the United Kingdom by the European Commission on Racism and Intolerance

1. (§ 2) ECRI reiterates its previous recommendations to sign and ratify Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights.

The United Kingdom Government intends neither to sign nor ratify Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights. The United Kingdom's extensive framework of equality law is structured differently from Protocol No. 12: in contrast to the very broad terms of Article 1 of Protocol No. 12, United Kingdom equality law is centred around certain defined characteristics, such as a person's race or sex, in respect of which no discrimination is permitted in certain circumstances, such as in employment or the provision of services, and in relation to which certain public bodies have a duty to promote equality. In addition, there is currently very little case law on how the European Court of Human Rights will approach unclear concepts in Protocol 12 such as "the enjoyment of any right set forth by law", which could be taken to include international as well as domestic law.

2. (§ 8) ECRI recommends amending the criminal law to add the ground of language to the existing provisions and to include the following offences committed on grounds of race, colour, language, religion, nationality, or national or ethnic origin: public incitement to violence and discrimination; public insults and defamation; threats; the public expression with a racist aim of an ideology which claims the superiority or which depreciates or denigrates a group of persons; the public denial, trivialisation, justification or condoning, with a racist aim, of crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity or war crimes; the production or storage aimed at public dissemination or distribution, of written, pictorial or other material containing manifestations covered by GPR 7 § 18 a, b, c, d and e; the creation or leadership of a group which promotes racism, support for such a group or participation in its activities; and racial discrimination in the exercise of one's public office or occupation.

Currently our incitement to hatred offences include the stirring up of hatred on the grounds of race, religion and sexual orientation. This includes the dissemination and distribution of hate material. Race covers colour, race, nationality and ethnic origin. Inciting another person to commit violence is already a criminal offence and we have separate legislation in UK to protect against defamation and discrimination. In addition to the above there is a specific offence of Racist Chanting where the Football (Offences) Act 1991 makes it an offence to engage or take part in chanting of an indecent or racist nature at a designated football match.

Scotland

(§ 9) ECRI notes that the above-mentioned Public Order Act 1986 and the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 also apply in Scotland. In addition, section 33 of the latter introduced, in Scotland, the offence of racially-aggravated harassment, defined as causing or intending to cause a person alarm or distress and involving malice or ill-will based on a person's membership, or presumed membership, of a "racial group".⁵ The same gaps exist in Scotland as identified in England and Wales, and ECRI's recommendation in § 8 is addressed also to the authorities of Scotland.

In Scotland, common law assault, common law breach of the peace and threatening and abusive behaviour are important legal tools for dealing with hatred directed against a group of persons or a member of such a group defined by reference to their race, colour, language, religion, descent or national or ethnic origin. The Scottish Courts also have long-standing powers to punish someone more severely for committing a hate crime through common law powers to take into account aggravating factors when sentencing. We also believe we have strong laws on the statute books to deal with hate crime.

The Scottish Government welcomes the recommendations from the European Commission against Racism and Intolerance in its recent report on the United Kingdom. The Scottish Government will consider these recommendations carefully and consider, in due course, what legislative approaches it will take to respond to these recommendations.

3. (§ 19) ECRI recommends that the authorities improve the Equality Act by including the following: the protected characteristic of language; announced intention to discriminate; the express duty to ensure that those parties to whom public authorities award contracts, loans, grants, or other benefits respect and promote a policy of non-discrimination; the necessary legal tools to review, on an on-going basis, the conformity with the prohibition of discrimination of all laws, regulations and administrative provisions at national and local levels, and to amend or abrogate those found not to be in conformity with the prohibition of discrimination; the obligation to suppress public financing of organisations or political parties which promote racism and the possibility of dissolution of such organisations.

Discrimination because of language is already prohibited under the Equality Act 2010 if it is an aspect of racial discrimination. Similarly it is already possible under the Act for action to be taken on the basis of announced intention to discriminate, where this disadvantages someone because of a protected characteristic. The public sector equality duty set out in Part 11 of the Equality Act requires that any body performing a public function act in accordance with the Duty

to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Act; to advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it; and to foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. This is a powerful and appropriate lever.

4. (§ 22) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities of Northern Ireland consolidate equality legislation into a single, comprehensive equality act, taking inspiration from the Equality Act 2010, and taking account of the recommendations of the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland, as well as ECRI's recommendations in § 19 of this report.

Within its Racial Equality Strategy 2015-2025, the Northern Ireland Executive commit to undertaking a review of the current Race Relations (Northern Ireland) Order 1997 to ensure that it offers at least the same levels of protection as the Equality Act 2010 and to act on the outcome of the review with a view to new legislation being in place by the 2017-2018 Assembly Session.

5. (§ 39) ECRI calls upon all political parties to take a firm stand against intolerant discourse and instruct their representatives to refrain from making derogatory comments targeting a group of persons on grounds of their "race", religion, citizenship, language, ethnic origin, sexual orientation or gender identity.

England and Wales

Freedom of expression is a fundamental right, protected under the Human Rights Act 1998 and under common law. This can extend to the expression of views that may shock, disturb or offend the deeply-held beliefs of others. Any restrictions must always be set out in law and be proportionate. It is nonetheless a criminal offence to stir up hatred on racial or religious grounds or on the ground of sexual orientation in England and Wales. Offensive or insulting language may also constitute harassment.

In England and Wales, speech or behaviour which stirs up racial or religious hatred or hatred on the grounds of sexual orientation is a criminal offence under the Public Order Act.

Incitement to racial hatred occurs when a person uses words or behaviour or displays written material which is threatening, insulting or abusive with the intention of stirring up racial hatred or it is likely that racial hatred will be stirred up. This can include such things as making a racist speech, distributing racist leaflets or displaying a racist poster. The offences for religion and sexual orientation are similar although the behaviour must be threatening and must be intended to stir up hatred.

The Government fully supports the work of the All Party Parliamentary Group against Antisemitism and the report it issued on electoral conduct. The report was praised by the Speaker of the House of Commons, and was welcomed by all parties. The Law Commission, Electoral Commission, Equality and Human Rights Commission and the Police have all committed to actions against the recommendations of the report. Meetings were held with Cabinet Office, Equalities Office and Communities Ministers about the report, which the government has fully supported.

[http://antisemitism.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/3767_APPG_Electoral - Parliamentary_Report_emailable.pdf](http://antisemitism.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/3767_APPG_Electoral_-_Parliamentary_Report_emailable.pdf)).

Scotland

There is absolutely no place for bigotry and prejudice in Scotland - the Scottish Government is clear that any form of hate crime is totally unacceptable and will not be tolerated in 21st century Scotland.

Following the EU referendum, Scottish Ministers have been clear that EU citizens from elsewhere in Europe remain welcome, are appreciated and make a significant contribution to Scotland, and have been actively engaging with communities to hear their concerns and offer reassurance. The First Minister has been clear on the importance of reassuring EU nationals living in Scotland: "Scotland is your home, you are welcome here, and your contribution is valued".

6. (§ 51) ECRI recommends that the authorities review the provisions on incitement to hatred with a view to making them more effective and usable.

England and Wales

The Crown Prosecution Service takes these cases very seriously and is committed to prosecuting where appropriate. The Crown Prosecution Service Hate Crime Report, published on 13 July 2016, covered the periods 2014/15 and 2015/16. The section on stirring up hatred provides clarification on the legal parameters in decision-making, included the following:

“Hate crime focuses on hostility or prejudice against specific sections of society. Hostility carries the ordinary, everyday meaning of the word and its dictionary definition captures ill-will, unfriendliness, spite, ill-feeling, contempt, prejudice, resentment, dislike and hatred. However, the public order offences of “stirring up hatred” focus on hatred itself and the intention or likely effect of the offence in question.”

“The number of cases brought is much lower than for other offences covered in this report. This is due to higher evidential thresholds and the need to consider an individual’s right to freedom of expression. It is essential in a free, democratic and tolerant society that people are able to exchange views, even when offence may be caused. However, the CPS must also balance the rights of an individual to freedom of speech and expression against the duty of the state to act proportionately in the interests of public safety, to prevent disorder and crime, and to protect the rights of others.”

Where the relevant thresholds are not met, alternative charges can and will be considered.

In consultation with community organisations, the Crown Prosecution Service public policy statement on stirring up hatred will be revised during 2016/17.

The recent Hate Crime Report highlights the following relevant data:

“There was one prosecution in 2014/15 for publishing or distributing written material intended to stir up religious hatred. The defendants pleaded guilty and received between 15 and 18 months detention.”

“There was one prosecution in 2015/16 for publishing written material intending to stir up racial hatred. The defendant was found guilty and sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 3 years and 4 months.”

Scotland

In Scotland, common law assault, common law breach of the peace and threatening and abusive behaviour are important legal tools for dealing with hatred directed against a group of persons or a member of such a group defined by reference to their race, colour, language, religion, descent or national or ethnic origin. The Scottish Courts also have long-standing powers to punish someone more severely for committing a hate crime through common law powers to take into account aggravating factors when sentencing. We also believe we have strong laws on the statute books to deal with hate crime.

The Scottish Government welcomes the recommendations from the Commission in its recent report on the United Kingdom. The Scottish Government will consider these recommendations carefully and consider in due course what legislative approaches it will take to respond to these recommendations.

7. (§ 56) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities find a way to establish an independent press regulator according to the recommendations set out in the Leveson Report. It recommends more rigorous training for journalists to ensure better compliance with ethical standards. It further recommends the authorities to sign and ratify the Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime.

The Government has delivered a framework for a new system of independent press self-regulation that protects press freedom whilst offering real redress when mistakes are made. Following the Leveson Inquiry, the cross-party agreement was implemented through the Royal Charter which established the Press Recognition Panel. As of September 2015, the Press

Recognition Panel has been open for applications from regulators and it is currently considering an application from IMPRESS. The Additional Protocol to the Convention on Cybercrime sets the criminal threshold for racial hatred lower than the criminal threshold for racial hatred in UK domestic law under the Public Order Act 1986, which applies to acts committed both offline and online. This Government believes that our present laws strike the right balance between the need to protect individuals from hatred and violence, and the right to freedom of expression, even if the views expressed are offensive.

8. (§ 58) ECRI recommends amending the Editor’s Code of Practice to ensure that members of groups can submit complaints as victims against biased or prejudicial reporting concerning their community.

The Government is committed to a free and open press and does not interfere with what the press does and does not publish, as long as the press abides by the law. It is the job of the Editors’ Code of Practice Committee to write, review and revise the Code.

In order to improve journalists’ understanding of minority groups, the Government will work with the Society of Editors, the Media Trust and IPSO to update the ‘Reporting Diversity’ booklet, which offers advice and practical help to journalists about how to rise to the challenge and avoid the perils and pitfalls of reporting community issues. This will cover communities in all strands of hate crime to ensure fair reporting. We have also worked with the Society of Editors to develop a guide for moderators of online user-generated content.

Government will also work with the Independent Press Standards Organisations (IPSO) to devise training to help journalists have a better understanding of Islam.

9. (§ 69) ECRI recommends that data are gathered on the application of enhanced sentencing under sections 145 and 146 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and, where imposed, that this should be duly recorded, including on the criminal records of offenders. Data should also be collected on where aggravated offences and enhanced sentencing have been invoked and then dropped through the process of accepting guilty pleas. ECRI also recommends that steps are taken to narrow the gap between hate crime recorded by the police and that referred for prosecution.

Data gathering on the use of sentence uplift

Crown Prosecution Service data relating to the use of sentence uplifts can be found in its recently published Hate Crime Report:

www.cps.gov.uk/publications/equality/hate_crime/index.html

It should be noted that the sentence uplift data relates to the proportion of all successful flagged² hate crime prosecutions where a sentence uplift has been both announced in court and is recorded on the Crown Prosecution Service file.

It is important to remember that it is Crown Prosecution Service policy not to remove the “flag” from a case file unless it has been added by administrative error. This means that there may be some cases which have been properly flagged but it is considered that there is insufficient evidence to prove the aggravating feature required for the uplift and, although the prosecution overall was successful, it was not possible to ask for the uplift.

There may also be some cases where an application for an uplift is presented to the court after conviction but the court makes a judicial decision that the provisions do not apply.

As a result, it cannot be expected that a sentencing uplift will follow in each successful case that has been flagged as a hate crime.

Further work is being undertaken with prosecutors and with the courts to sustain the recent improvement in the number of announced and recorded sentence uplifts.

² case types are identified using a number of monitoring flags, applied to relevant cases at the pre-charge stage. The flags allow managers to monitor proceedings during the life of the prosecution, and enable reporting of outcomes following the conclusion of the case. Flags are applied in cases of hate crime and to crimes against older people.

Decisions not to charge or substantially alter charges

Data is not collected by the Crown Prosecution Service in respect of cases where the aggravation element (of a case) has been discontinued.

In support of improving case work quality and data accuracy, the Hate Crime Assurance scheme was introduced on 1 January 2015 in relation to disability hostility, homophobic and transphobic crime. The scheme was extended in May 2015 to include racially and religiously aggravated cases.

The scheme measures performance against a number of key case handling indicators, determined following a previous Criminal Justice Joint Inspection into disability hate crime cases. In addition to live files, all appropriate finalised files are assessed in relation to the accuracy of recording of key stages. Experienced hate crime prosecutors also provide constructive feedback to lawyers in real time with the aim of increasing casework quality and data accuracy.

These improvements provide an opportunity for critical challenge in respect of decision making and increase the potential for a successful outcome to the prosecution.

Communication with victims

In relation to racially and religiously aggravated offences charged under sections 29-32 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, a letter should be sent to the victim when a decision is made to proceed with the basic offence, rather than the aggravated form.

The Code of Practice for Victims of Crime sets out the obligations of the Crown Prosecution Service towards victims. This includes a requirement to notify a victim if a decision is taken that there is insufficient evidence to bring a prosecution (following a full evidential report from the police) or to drop a case or substantially alter the charges.

“In cases involving racist or religious crime, the prosecutor who made the decision to drop or substantially to alter the charge will also offer to meet the victim to explain personally the reasons for the decision. Where a prosecutor has made a decision not to charge during a face-to-face consultation with a police officer (that is, without a full, written evidential report), the police officer must notify the victim.”

Acceptance of Pleas

The principles governing the acceptance of pleas prior to the commencement of the trial hearing are contained within the Code for Crown Prosecutors:

“Prosecutors should only accept the defendant’s plea if they think the court is able to pass a sentence that matches the seriousness of the offending, particularly where there are aggravating features. Prosecutors must never accept a guilty plea just because it is convenient.

In considering whether the pleas offered are acceptable, prosecutors should ensure that the interests and, where possible, the views of the victim, or in appropriate cases the views of the victim’s family, are taken into account when deciding whether it is in the public interest to accept the plea. However, the decision rests with the prosecutor.”

Crown Prosecution Service Guidance on Prosecuting Cases of Racist and Religious Crime states:

“It is CPS policy not to accept pleas to lesser offences, or omit or minimise admissible evidence of racial or religious aggravation for the sake of expediency.

Where it is thought appropriate to accept a plea, full regard should be had to the Attorney General’s Guidelines on the Acceptance of Pleas and the Prosecutor’s Role in the Sentencing Exercise. All prosecutors should be aware of their contents and follow them. They explain the important role that the prosecutor plays in protecting the general public interest and the specific interests of victims.

Prosecutors must place evidence of racial aggravation before a court in a trial or at a sentencing hearing when it is proper to do so. Acceptance of a plea to a lesser offence will only be appropriate in circumstances such as those outlined above.

Full notes of the reasons for accepting pleas must be recorded, and such decisions should be referred to nominated senior or specialist prosecutors for ratification.”

The Attorney General’s Guidelines on the Acceptance of Pleas and the Prosecutor’s Role in the Sentencing Exercise (Revised 2009) states:

“When a case is listed for trial and the prosecution form the view that the appropriate course is to accept a plea before the proceedings commence or continue, or to offer no evidence on the indictment or any part of it, the prosecution should whenever practicable speak to the victim or the victim’s family, so that the position can be explained. The views of the victim or the family may assist in informing the prosecutor’s decision as to whether it is the public interest, as defined by the Code for Crown Prosecutors, to accept or reject the plea. The victim or victim’s family should then be kept informed and decisions explained once they are made at court.”

In making these determinations within the context of relevant law and legal guidance, prosecutors will also be mindful of the Code of Practice for Victims of Crime:

“(the code) sets out the obligations of the CPS towards victims. One of these obligations is to tell a victim if we decide that there is insufficient evidence to bring a prosecution (following a full evidential report from the police), or if we decide to drop a case, or substantially to alter the charges. In such circumstances, we will explain to a victim why we have made these decisions. Normally we will do this by writing a letter directly to the victim. In some situations, a case can be dealt with very quickly and we may not always be able to give the explanation before the case is finished. However, the victim will still be given an explanation even if the case has finished. If the victim is vulnerable or intimidated, we will notify him or her within one working day and within five working days for other victims.”

The gap between hate crime recorded by the police and the number referred for prosecution

The Crown Prosecution Service continues to work closely with the police regarding hate crime, so that all appropriate cases are referred for a charging decision, but this is ultimately a matter for the police.

Scotland

The Scottish Government is actively working with Police Scotland, the Crown Office & Procurator Fiscal Service and Scottish Courts to analyse, understand and report on Hate Crime recorded in Scotland at each stage of the data journey, with the aim of maximising the benefit of this data for users. This includes our previously announced plan to investigate the introduction of a new statistical product on police recorded Hate Crime. We will keep our statistical users and stakeholders informed as this work develops.

10. (§ 80) ECRI reiterates its recommendation to intensify the recruitment of Black and minority ethnic teachers, in order for teaching staff to reflect better the communities they serve, and retain them in the teaching profession.

England

The UK Government firmly believes that school leaders are best placed to make decisions about the teachers they recruit to their schools, ensuring that their workforce adequately reflects the needs of their specific community of pupils. That is why we have given greater autonomy to schools - head teachers can now exercise much greater flexibility around pay and conditions, and are also able to employ as teachers individuals with a broad range of knowledge, skills and experience. We are also making it much easier for schools to train their own future teachers, meaning that heads can identify talent from within their local communities and provide high-quality employment-based training for individuals who already have a good understanding of the school’s characteristics and the needs of its pupils.

We value diversity in the workforce but want the best people in the classroom, regardless of their ethnic background; evidence shows that the quality of teaching is the single most important factor in determining how well pupils achieve. The number of people starting initial teacher training in the academic year 2015/16 who declared to be from a black or minority

ethnic background was the highest number recorded over the last six years. The overall proportion of postgraduate trainees who declared to be from a black or minority ethnic background was 14 per cent; an increase of one percentage point from the previous year.

Scotland

The Scottish Government is committed to equality and wants to see a diverse workforce at every level of the education system that reflects the multi-cultural nature of Scottish society.

Local Authorities are the employers of teachers in Scotland and as employers it is their responsibility to ensure they undertake fair and inclusive recruitment activity for all posts.

The Scottish Government established the Strategic Board for Teacher Education which includes universities, local authorities and professional associations to oversee and evaluate reform to teacher education. The Scottish Government has tasked this Board to investigate the barriers to wider representation in the teaching profession and to come forward with suggestions to support diversity in order to ensure that the teaching workforce within schools reflects the communities that they serve.

The Scottish Government has recently launched a delivery plan “*Delivering Excellence and Equity in Scottish Education*” which details the innovative actions the Scottish Government will take with its partners to address issues related to minority ethnic groups which are under-represented in teaching.

The Scottish Government will extend its recruitment campaign, building on the positive work of the current campaign, to address diversity issues by the end of 2016, and will work with the General Teaching Council for Scotland to provide more support to teachers on equality issues through Career Long Professional Learning to be in place by August 2017.

Wales

The Welsh Government promotes teaching as a career to all under-represented groups, in order that teachers and the profession is fully representative of the communities in which they work. The Welsh Government continues to encourage people from all backgrounds, particularly those groups that are under represented, with the right qualifications, skills and personal attributes to consider teaching as a career.

Further to the review of Initial Teacher Education and Training in Wales undertaken by Professor John Furlong - ‘Teaching Tomorrow’s Teachers - the Welsh Government is considering further the most effective way to market teaching as a career in order to raise the quality of the applicants to Initial Teacher Education and Training programmes, whilst also ensuring that the profession continues to be reflective of the communities it serves.

11. (§ 84) In view of the continued disadvantage of certain Black and minority ethnic groups in education and employment, ECRI encourages the authorities to find concrete ways to achieve their 2020 Vision goals. It also strongly recommends setting similar goals in health care, with a particular focus on eliminating any racial discrimination in mental health care.

England

The audit of public services, announced by the Prime Minister on 27 August 2016, will support delivery of the 2020 Vision by giving every person the ability to check how their race affects the way they are treated by public services and the information will help government and the public to force poor-performing services to improve.

The audit will be led by a new dedicated unit in the Cabinet Office, reporting jointly to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, Sajid Javid, and the Minister for the Cabinet Office, Ben Gummer. The first data is expected to be published before the summer 2017 and will be updated annually to ensure the public can track improvement and better hold services to account.

Scotland

Employment

The Scottish Government recognises that for a labour market to be truly inclusive, the barriers that restrict disadvantaged groups from accessing good quality employment and then progressing within it must be tackled. We know that employment rates for ethnic minorities are lower than the Scottish average and that unemployment rates are higher than the rates for similarly qualified white individuals.

The Race Equality Framework for Scotland outlines our approach to tackling this over a fifteen year period from 2016 to 2030. We will work with employers, our partners and stakeholders to identify and promote practice that works in reducing employment inequalities, discrimination and barriers for minority ethnic people, including in career paths, recruitment, progression and retention.

The Labour Market Strategy (LMS) will underpin our approach to achieving inclusive growth, one of the four priorities set out in Scotland's Economic Strategy to boost competitiveness and tackle inequalities. The LMS will focus on how we build on the work of the Fair Work Convention and how we can create a skilled, productive workforce capable of meeting the needs of employers. The strategy will set out the importance of inclusive growth and creating a successful, competitive and growing economy based on decent, well-paid jobs that improve well-being and quality of life for all people, including those from an ethnic minority background.

The Labour Market Strategy will illustrate some of the policies we have put in place to tackle inequalities and ensure that all groups share within Scotland's economic growth.

The Scottish Government will explore with Skills Development Scotland how to increase access to effective careers guidance and employability support for people from minority ethnic communities who are in work, seeking work or in learning. Skills Development Scotland works with their contracted training providers to encourage the employers they work with to take on apprentices from minority ethnic groups. This is reviewed by SDS in their contract management processes.

Contracted employability support for long-term unemployed people will be devolved to Scotland from April 2017. We are developing a long-term 2020 and beyond vision to identify the specific needs of clients and develop a fully integrated and aligned service that helps people move into good quality, sustainable work.

Health

The Scottish Government believes that minority ethnic groups should experience improved access to health and social care services and this issue is being taken forward in Race Equality Framework. There is some evidence which suggests that the numbers of minority ethnic people accessing services may be relatively low, and the Scottish Government is committed to finding out more about the experiences of ethnic minorities in accessing health and social care services.

The integration of health and social care in Scotland is one of the most significant and exciting reforms since the establishment of the National Health Service in Scotland. It is about ensuring that people come first and that those who use services, get the right care and support whatever their needs, at any point in their care journey.

The Scottish Government is providing £62,205 funding in 2016/17 to the Council of Ethnic Minority Voluntary Organisations (CEMVO) to support a Race Equality and Integration Project which will work proactively to encourage engagement between minority ethnic groups, communities and integration authorities to ensure positive experiences and outcomes are realised, with learning captured and disseminated widely across Scotland.

The Healthcare Quality Strategy for Scotland sets a target to develop a programme of action to ensure that people's equality needs are gathered, shared and responded to across health services.

The Scottish Government's forthcoming Mental Health Strategy will set out our 10 year vision for transforming mental health in Scotland. Through the Race Equality Framework, we are ensuring effective engagement with minority ethnic communities in the development of the Scottish Government Mental Health Strategy to ensure issues relevant to race equality are considered as part of this wider review

Northern Ireland

The 'Together: Building a United Community' Strategy, published on 23 May 2013, reflects the Northern Ireland Executive's commitment to improving community relations and continuing the journey towards a more united and shared society.

12. (§ 88) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities establish a real dialogue with Muslims in order to combat Islamophobia. They should consult them on all policies which could affect Muslims.

The then Prime Minister announced on 20 July 2015 that Dame Louise Casey would be carrying out a review on how to boost opportunity and integration in the most isolated and deprived communities in Britain. Her review will determine priorities for a new Cohesive Communities Programme, which the government committed to in the Counter-Extremism Strategy. The review is considering such issues as: how we can ensure people learn English; how we can improve academic and employment outcomes; and how state agencies can work effectively with communities to promote integration.

The Government's engagement with Muslim communities has been through a variety of programmes, which have been developed to ensure Muslim communities feel part of an integrated society. We have a very broad range of stakeholders across the country who we regularly meet with and listen to. These stakeholders cross the span of Muslim communities, allowing us to understand issues associated with different schools of thought, ethnicities, gender as well as issues associated with young people.

The Government has recently developed a 'Strengthening Faith Institutions' programme, which will assist Muslim institutions to strengthen their abilities to engage, not just with government, but with a whole host of other organisations. The Anti-Muslim Hatred Working Group, allows Government to understand the critical factors impacting on Muslim communities and their safety. The Near Neighbours programme has further strengthened Government engagement with Muslim communities. The Near Neighbours co-ordinators based across the country provide direct contact between communities and Government. We have also developed strong engagement through Ministerial visits to Muslim communities, faith centres, Mosques and through communal events such as the Big Iftar, which is now an established series of events in our annual calendar.

Scotland

The Scottish Government values Scotland's Muslim communities and the important role they play in supporting their communities and developing community cohesion. The Scottish Government has regular engagement with Scotland's Muslim communities. This includes maintaining working relationships with the Muslim Council of Scotland and the Scottish Ahlul Bayt Society and participation by Scottish Government Ministers in community events.

Scottish Ministers, including the First Minister, also have regular engagement with the Jewish community and representative organisations.

Police Scotland and the Crown Office have also built good links in relation to operational matters around hate crime and community reassurance.

The First Minister hosted an Interfaith Summit attended by faith leaders on 8 September 2015 to raise the profile of interfaith activity in Scotland. Attendees included representation from the Muslim faith.

The Scottish Government has provided funding of £507,916 in 2016-17, to promote interfaith understanding and tackle religious intolerance, as well as improve the lives of communities in Scotland. Of this total, £145,000 has been awarded to Interfaith Scotland which works across

Scotland to develop and support interfaith relations and assist faith communities to engage with civic Scotland. The Scottish Government works in partnership with Interfaith Scotland to support Scotland's faith and belief communities and promote the development of interfaith relations and dialogue to build community cohesion.

We are also providing £111,534 to AMINA Muslim Women's Resource Centre for a project to provide culturally sensitive advice and befriending and to tackle prejudice, hate crime and inspire community cohesion and £55,200 to the Scottish Council for Jewish Communities to engage with and support organisations and individuals, especially people of Jewish heritage who are geographically or socially isolated, vulnerable, or deprived, in both urban and rural areas.

Counter-terrorism: Scottish Prevent

The Scottish Government is clear that delivery of Prevent in Scotland must continue to be across the spectrum of threat, risk and vulnerability and not focussed on any single community.

Prevent in Scotland benefits from generally positive relationships with our communities. A priority going forward is to work with partner agencies, other stakeholders and communities to co-produce an approach to implementing Prevent that meets local needs.

In addition the Scottish Government will consider any UK Government Counter Extremism measures against the backdrop of our approach to building a cohesive, inclusive and safe society. Scottish Government will continue to take a distinct Scottish asset based approach that builds cohesion and makes it more difficult for people to feel alienated or isolated.

Wales

In Wales, the Faith Communities Forum is made up of faith community leaders who consult the Welsh Government on issues of policy and strategy. The First Minister is Chair of the Forum, which meets twice a year. The Faith Communities Forum facilitates dialogue between the Welsh Government and the major faith communities on any matters affecting the economic, social and cultural life in Wales.

During 2014-15, the Forum considered what they could do to raise awareness of hate crime within their faith communities and a video was produced which was posted online to encourage reporting of faith hate crime.

Muslim reference Groups

In January 2016, the Welsh Government met representatives from Muslim Communities across Wales to discuss Islamophobia, extremism, radicalisation and hate crime. The purpose of these reference group meetings was to hear from a small but broad representation of religious leaders and community activists, including those who have had a public profile in speaking out on either or both extremism or Islamophobia. Further and wider engagement is planned and these first sessions identified initial views on what was working well in Wales, where gaps and issues existed, and how they might be addressed.

Regional Community Cohesion Co-ordinators

The Welsh Government published the National Community Cohesion Delivery Plan 2014-16, to strengthen, mainstream and sustain both local and regional community cohesion approaches. Delivery was supported by eight Regional Community Cohesion Co-ordinators with coverage across all 22 Local Authority areas in Wales.

Through the Co-ordinators there is strong and continued engagement with Muslim communities. In Newport, Tell MAMA, who support victims of anti-Muslim hate, recently delivered a workshop on 'Islamophobia' which was attended by a cross-section of public sector and voluntary sector partners, as well as members of the Muslim community. This event was organised by COMMON (Council of Mosques and Muslim Orgs Newport). In Swansea, a Muslim Engagement Group has been established which meets with the Director of People on a regular basis.

Victim Support

Victim Support was commissioned by the Welsh Government as the Official National Hate Crime Report and Support Centre for Wales. It is a single mechanism and process for ensuring victims receive support and can report hate.

They undertake a great deal of work with Muslim Communities across Wales. For example, in Carmarthen they have held a number of meetings with the Carmarthen mosque to develop and organise hate crime sessions for various members of the community. They have been to meetings with the Muslim Sisters to find out whether they have been targeted by Islamophobia. Victim Support has also made contact with the Swansea central mosque to discuss ways in which they can work together, particularly around engagement with the Muslim sisters.

13. (§ 98) ECRI recommends that the new planning definition in England of Gypsy and Traveller is replaced with the previous one of 2012, that sufficient pitches are provided according to the needs of these communities, and that alternatives to eviction, such as the negotiated stopping policy in the city of Leeds, are promoted and replicated elsewhere.

England

In England, the Government's overarching aim is to ensure fair and equal treatment for travellers (whatever their race or origin) in a way that facilitates their traditional and nomadic way of life while respecting the interests of the settled community.

The Government updated the planning policy for local councils *Planning Policy for Traveller Sites*. The intention is to ensure fairness in the planning system, strengthening protection for the Green Belt and countryside and addressing some of the negative effects of unauthorised development of land.

The Government changed the definition of 'gypsy and traveller' in planning policy in order to establish a definition appropriate for planning purposes. For planning purposes a traveller should be someone of a nomadic way of life whatever their race or origin.

Those who have ceased to travel permanently will still be able to continue to submit applications for caravan sites if they wish to maintain links to their traditional way of life. These applications would be considered under wider national planning policy through the *National Planning Policy Framework*, in much the same way that people in the settled community apply to develop mobile homes.

14. (§ 104) ECRI recommends that the licencing regime under the Scrap Metal Dealers Act 2013 is revised to allow Gypsies and Travellers to apply for licences on a regional rather than local basis to allow them to pursue this trade across a range of local authorities without incurring excessive fees.

England

The Scrap Metal Dealers Act 2013 requires the Home Secretary to undertake a full review of the legislation and publish a report of the conclusions within five years of the Act's commencement, which means the report must be published by 30 September 2018. The Home Office will consult with a range of partners including the British Transport Police, the British Metals Recycling Association, the Gypsy, Traveller and Roma Liaison Group and the National Metal Theft Working Group led by the National Police Chiefs' Council lead, Chief Constable Paul Crowther of British Transport Police.

The Government will look to establish a round table event to determine if there is sufficient evidence to undertake a full review in advance of September 2018 and whether to retain, repeal any of the provisions within the Act, or if any additional amendments are required to achieve the continuing objective of preventing and tackling metal theft and protecting the scrap metal industry from illegal operations. The review will also consider proposals for regional licences.

15. (§ 109) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities draw up, in consultation with Gypsy, Traveller and Roma groups, a detailed programme of integration strategies and measures to address the disadvantage suffered by all three of these communities in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, including concrete targets, timeframes, and resources, in all areas of daily life, such as education, employment, health care and accommodation, in particular addressing the shortage of caravan sites.

England

The United Kingdom Government is advancing Roma, Gypsy and Traveller integration within broader social inclusion and integration policies. This is the best approach in a diverse and decentralised country like ours.

Accommodation

In much the same way as the Government expects councils to plan to meet the needs of their settled community, the *Planning Policy for Traveller Sites* sets out that local authorities should objectively assess traveller needs and identify a suitable five-year supply of sites to meet those needs, taking account of national planning policy in doing so.

Local authorities are making progress and in July 2015, the number of traveller caravans on authorised privately funded sites was 11,478, which is 1,236 more than in July 2014. For public sites, between 2011 and March 2015, the Homes and Communities Agency spent £42 million delivering 463 new and 332 refurbished pitches through the Traveller Pitch Funding programme. In addition, between 2015 and 2018 the affordable homes programme is forecast to deliver a further 71 pitches.

Health

The Inclusion Health programme produced a series of reports on key issues affecting disadvantaged and vulnerable groups, including Gypsies, Travellers and Roma people. These reports were largely developed with the participation of stakeholders, such as health professionals and stakeholder organisations (such as The Traveller Movement). The key reports included:

- *Commissioning inclusive services - practical steps towards inclusive JSNAs (Joint Strategic Needs Assessments) and JHSWs (Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategies) and commissioning for Gypsies, Travellers and Roma, homeless people, sex workers and vulnerable migrants* (2013). This report highlights the health issues facing these groups and encourages local agencies to engage with these vulnerable groups - including through trusted organisations - to establish the extent of their needs. It also notes high levels of illiteracy; lack of good quality health supporting accommodation; lack of knowledge of mainstream services; and a mistrust of authority as key barriers to healthcare as well as procedures for registering and accessing primary care services.
- *Improving access to health care for Gypsies and travellers, homeless people and sex workers* (RCGP/Inclusion Health, 2014), which is guidance for Clinical Commissioning Groups, local authorities, NHS England and Public Health England aimed at widening access to health services and contributing to improving the health outcomes of vulnerable and marginalised groups, including Gypsies, Travellers and Roma, in line with the 2010 public health white paper commitment to improve the health of the poorest fastest.
- *Inclusion Health: Education and Training for Health Professionals* (2016), which sets out the education and training that health professionals need, and also receive about Inclusion Health, to enable them to work effectively with vulnerable people who are homeless, Gypsies and Travellers, Roma, sex workers and vulnerable migrants.

The Department of Health also published the report on the *Impact of insecure accommodation and the living environment on Gypsies and Travellers' health*. It looked at how the living conditions of Gypsies and Travellers lead to poor health, with two-thirds of this group reporting poor, bad, very bad or health. The report identified local joint working across health and other interests as the most effective way of reducing the health inequalities resulting from poor and insecure accommodation

Education

We have moved away from having national programmes and separate funding streams that target specific groups of pupils, such as those from Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities. Instead, our policies support the move to a school-led, self-improving system characterised by high expectation for all, autonomy and freedom, and underpinned by robust accountability measures which will focus the attention of schools on the progress and attainment of all their pupils.

In 2016, the school performance tables will report on the progress made by pupils from the end of primary school to the end of secondary school in 8 qualifications, and the attainment of pupils in those same 8 qualifications (rather than just the proportion of pupils who achieve the threshold level of 5 A*-C grade GCSEs including English and maths).

The proportion of Gypsy, Roma and Traveller pupils who qualify for the Pupil Premium is much higher than the national average amongst all pupils - around 60% compared with 28% of all pupils. Schools have flexibility over how they use the pupil premium to support their disadvantaged pupils, as they are best placed to understand and respond to their particular needs.

Pupils from Gypsy, Roma and Traveller backgrounds (as well as those from other minority ethnic groups) can experience bullying. The Government is firmly of the view that bullying in any form, including racist bullying, is unacceptable and should not be tolerated in our schools. The Department has issued clear advice to schools on preventing and tackling bullying and supporting bullied pupils. We have also provided around £1.3 million funding in 2015-16 to several anti-bullying organisations, who work with schools and young people to prevent and tackle all forms of bullying.

Schools are held to account through inspections by Ofsted (Office for Standards in Education) for the quality of provision for all pupils, including those who are vulnerable and at risk of educational underperformance.

Employment

The Government recognises that people from Black and Minority Ethnic backgrounds may face a range of barriers, including: poor knowledge of the labour market and what employers are looking for; language barriers for those with English as an additional language, cultural expectations around contributing to the family, educational barriers; and discrimination (perceived and actual, direct and indirect).

We also recognise that the same principles and best practice for supporting people from Black and Minority Ethnic backgrounds into employment apply equally to white minority groups, for example people from Gypsy or Roma communities, or Eastern Europe, as they also record low labour market outcomes.

The Government's focus is on a wide range of activities designed to support people from black and minority ethnic backgrounds into work; support which is tailored based on the barriers individuals face.

We are doing this by ensuring our core Jobcentre Plus offer works well for black and minority ethnic groups, and building work coach capability and responsibility enabling tailored support. We are working closely with Jobcentres in order to share best practice.

We are extending the same support that people on Jobseeker's Allowance get to around one million more claimants through Universal Credit and we are encouraging greater use of the Flexible Support Fund and the take up of English Language for Speakers of another Language.

Scotland

The Scottish Government is committed to supporting our most vulnerable communities. We recognise that there is still much to do to address the needs of Gypsy/Travellers and the migrant Roma community in Scotland.

Scottish Government has provided over £300,000 funding in 2016-17 to organisations working with Gypsy/Traveller and the Roma community to improve outcomes across a range of areas of work.

Work on the Gypsy/Traveller strategy was paused in 2015 to allow for a period of reflection, following concerns raised by the Gypsy/Traveller Strategy Development Group. However, further development of a strategic programme of work for Gypsy/Travellers is currently in progress in the following areas:

Education

The Scottish Government's Scottish Traveller Education Review Group is developing guidance, for publication in winter 2016/17, in response to the very real concerns about the achievement of educational outcomes by Traveller children and young people. The guidance sets out how schools and local authorities can support Traveller children and young people and their families to engage in school education so as to improve life outcomes. The guidance covers all Travellers, including Gypsy/Travellers, European Roma People and Showpeople. It acknowledges the impact attendance, bullying and exclusions can have on educational outcomes for Traveller children and young people and suggests approaches that can be used to support Travellers and their families to overcome barriers to education. Alongside this work, the Scottish Government currently funds and supports the work of the Scottish Traveller Education Programme which supports the promotion and development of inclusive educational approaches for mobile and settled Gypsy/Traveller and other travelling families.

The Scottish Government is committed to refreshing the National Approach to Anti-Bullying for Scotland's Children and Young People (which includes bullying based on prejudice) to ensure that it remains current and is in line with the legal framework outlined in the Equalities Act, reflects legislative and policy developments including Getting It Right For Every Child, Children and Young People (Scotland) Act 2014; relationships and behaviour and the respectme research Bullying in Schools 2014. The purpose of the refreshed National Approach is to communicate and promote a common vision and aims to make sure that work across all sectors and communities is consistently and coherently contributing to a holistic approach to anti-bullying in Scotland. The refreshed guidance will be published later in 2016.

The refreshed guidance for local authorities, schools and youth organisations, will ensure that bullying of all kinds - including bullying experienced by minority groups like the Gypsy/Traveller community, are recorded accurately and monitored effectively. Better recording of bullying at a local level will help the appropriate body - be it a school or local authority - tailor their policies to local circumstances as well as monitoring and improving the effectiveness of their anti-bullying policies.

This review ties into broader work to foster good relationships and positive behaviour within the learning environment, which underpins Curriculum for Excellence. We also continue to fully fund and support respectme, Scotland's anti-bullying service. respectme work with local authorities and all those working with children and young people, to build confidence and capacity to address bullying effectively.

Accommodation

The Scottish Government's role is to set a robust framework and promote good practice. The Scottish Government wants the most effective approaches to be used across the whole country to meet the needs both of the Gypsy/Traveller community, and the settled community.

Decisions about the provision of Gypsy/Traveller sites are best made at the local level, by those with local knowledge and local accountability. In 2014 the Scottish Government published revised guidance for Housing Need and Demand Assessments and for Local Housing Strategies. This is to help make sure the accommodation needs of Gypsy/Travellers are fully taken into account by councils as they plan accommodation provision.

In May 2015 the Scottish Government published minimum quality standards for Gypsy/Traveller sites, and core rights and responsibilities for site tenants. These were developed in consultation with Gypsy/Traveller site tenants, local authorities, and other stakeholders. The Scottish Planning Policy published in 2014 requires councils to plan for the current and future needs of the Gypsy/Traveller community, and involve the community in planning and decision-making which affects them.

Health

The Scottish Government is committed to improving access to health services for Gypsy/Travellers and the Roma Community. A key theme of our Race Equality Framework is that minority ethnic communities, including Gypsy/Travellers and Roma, in Scotland have equality in physical and mental health as far as is achievable.

We are investing £85 million over three years through the Primary Care Fund to put in place long-term, sustainable change within community health services. A revised General Practitioner patient registration form was issued on 6 June 2013, now providing explicit instruction that Regulations relating to GP registration apply equally to members of the travelling and settled populations.

Wales

The Welsh Government welcomes the recognition in the Commission's report of the Housing (Wales) Act 2014 in relation to meeting the accommodation needs of Gypsy and Traveller communities. In 2011, the Welsh Government published its Gypsy and Traveller integration strategy entitled 'Travelling to a Better Future'. Significant progress has been made towards improving social inclusion in Wales, as evidenced by the Delivery Plan update published in March 2016. The Welsh Government recently undertook a consultation on whether specific proposals are required to support the inclusion of migrant Roma. The responses to this consultation are currently being analysed with a view to consulting widely on a revised Travelling to a Better Future in late 2016.

Gypsy, Roma and Traveller Education

The ECRI report shows that in the period 2011-13, the percentage of Gypsy, Roma and Traveller learners in Wales attaining Level 2 (including GCSE grade A*-C in maths and either English or Welsh as a first language) was 12.3%. That percentage has increased year on year. In 2012-14 the figure was 12.5% and in 2013-15, the latest available statistics, the percentage has increased to 15.5% of Gypsy, Roma and Traveller learners achieving Level 2.

Northern Ireland

The Commission may wish to note that the figures on educational attainment, in paragraph 101 of the Commission's report, do not reflect the Northern Ireland Executive's statistics. It is recognised that attainment by Traveller pupils is significantly less than for most other pupils in Northern Ireland, in 2014/15 57.1% of Traveller pupils left with 'No GCSEs' (57.1% for 2014/15) and 42.9% with 'No Formal Qualifications' of any kind. This is however significantly better than the figure of 92 per cent 'leaving school without qualifications' which is included in the Commission's report.

16. (§ 114) ECRI recommends taking steps to ensure that refugees do not fall into destitution by prolonging the provision of asylum support until access to welfare benefits is obtained.

The Home Office provides asylum seekers with free accommodation and a weekly cash allowance if they are destitute. If they are recognised as refugees this support stops 28 days later because they become eligible to mainstream benefits. We are working to ensure that refugees apply

promptly for these benefits and that they receive the first payment of any benefit they qualify for before their asylum support ends. The impact of this work will be considered later this year and we will bring forward a change to the 28 day period if the evaluation shows that to be necessary.

Wales

Both the provision of asylum support and access to benefits are not devolved to the Welsh Government. However, to help with the transition from asylum seeker to refugee status the Welsh Government provides funding to the Welsh Refugee Council for the Well Housing Project. This project supports refugees who have been transferred to Wales by the Home Office. The project liaises with Local Authorities, Housing Associations, and private landlords in order to secure accommodation. Other services include specialist advice and advocacy, victim support for hate crime and all forms of violence against women and girls, and advice on accessing benefits.

Welcome to Wales Pack

The Welsh Government has funded and produced a Welcome to Wales pack which provides key information on life in Wales and includes a section on the local area where the refugees will live. A children and young persons' version is also available. The packs will be available in English, Welsh and Arabic and will be useful to all refugees in Wales, not just those who are arriving via the Syrian Resettlement Programme.

The Welsh Government's Refugee and Asylum Seeker Delivery Plan

In March 2016, the Welsh Government launched its Refugee and Asylum Seeker Delivery Plan. This 3 year Delivery Plan is a living document and will be updated on a regular basis. It sets out how refugees and asylum seekers living in Wales can be helped to overcome barriers to ensure they have the information, language support and opportunities they need to make their transition to life in Wales an easier one.

It outlines the Welsh Government's commitment to:

- Helping refugees have access to a suitable home
- Providing access to free advice services on debt, housing, employment, benefits and discrimination
- Helping unaccompanied asylum seeking children to be safe and supported in order to prevent isolation and social exclusion
- Develop guidance to ensure asylum seekers and refugees get the healthcare services they need
- Provide support into employment and training opportunities
- Ensure access to educational opportunities
- Tackle bullying in schools against asylum seeking and refugee children
- Raise awareness of support for victims of hate crime.

17. (§ 116) ECRI recommends that a refugee integration strategy is developed in England and Northern Ireland to assist newly-arrived refugees, in particular as concerns housing, employment, access to welfare and learning English, and that refugee integration is systematically evaluated.

The former Home Secretary announced the publication of an annual asylum strategy, which will outline how we will focus our efforts on the most vulnerable refugees, and how we will take a tougher approach to those whose asylum claim is unfounded or could reasonably have been made in another country.

We are working towards achieving more integrated communities and creating the conditions for everyone to live and work successfully alongside each other. All those who claim asylum are reminded of their responsibilities to comply with British law. Those who are granted refugee status are given access to the labour market and benefits, and are encouraged to access organisations which can assist with integration.

The Government announced on 20 July 2015, that Louise Casey would carry out a review on how to boost opportunity and integration in Britain. In addition, it was announced that £20 million of additional funding for English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) courses would be introduced in October 2016. This funding will reach the most isolated communities in the UK to help them integrate into society.

Each local authority region has a strategic migration partnership that provides coordination and support services for those organisations working with migrants.

Scotland

The Scottish Government believes that asylum seekers and refugees should be integrated from day one, and not just when leave to remain has been granted. Scotland's approach to integration aims to provide a positive experience for asylum seekers and refugees.

Scotland's refugee integration strategy, *New Scots: Integrating Refugees in Scotland's Communities*, provides a clear framework for all those working towards refugee integration from 2014 - 2017. It assists in co-ordinating the work of the Scottish Government and its partner organisations and others in the public, private and third sectors.

New Scots was developed and is being implemented in partnership with the Convention of Scottish Local Authorities and the Scottish Refugee Council. The process has brought together a wide range of agencies working to support the integration of refugees into Scotland's communities, including representatives of refugee community groups. A multi-agency core group chaired by Dr Alison Strang of Queen Margaret University is overseeing the process.

The 'New Scots Year Two Implementation Progress Report' was published in March 2016. Initial work is now underway on the development of a new strategy to follow the current strategy, which runs to 31 March 2017.

18. (§ 123) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities review the legal aid and fees regime with a view to improving access to justice in discrimination in employment cases.

The Government is currently undertaking a post-implementation review of the implementation of fees in the Employment Tribunals. The review is expected to conclude in due course.

The conciliations service of the Advisory, Conciliation and Arbitration Service is available free of charge to help people resolve workplace disputes while avoiding the need to go to the tribunal. For those cases which cannot be resolved through conciliation, a scheme of fee remissions, known as Help with Fees, is available under which those who qualify may have their fees remitted in full or in part.

Legal aid reforms introduced under the Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012 will be assessed in the post-implementation review due to be carried out within three to five years of Act's implementation.

Scotland

Access to Legal Aid

In Scotland, legal aid is a demand led system and all who are eligible will receive legal aid. Scotland's system maintains wide access to legal aid for both criminal and civil cases is not cost-limited and has a high eligibility rate. As the report states, Assistance by Way of Representation has always been available for discrimination cases before the Employment Tribunal. This is subject to the Scottish Legal Aid Board's prior approval following their consideration of the merits of the case.

Employment Tribunals and fees

The Scottish Government wants employers throughout Scotland to adopt Fair Working practices for all but where this doesn't happen we want to ensure that all employees have fair access to justice.

Following the Smith Commission's recommendations, all powers over the management and operation of all reserved tribunals (which includes administrative, judicial and legislative

powers) will be devolved to the Scottish Parliament. The Scottish Government will abolish fees for Employment Tribunals, when we are clear on how the transfer of powers and responsibilities will work. The Scottish Government and UK officials are in discussion regarding the transfer of Employment Tribunals to Scotland.

19. (§ 126) ECRI strongly recommends that the authorities of England revise the Housing and Planning Bill to ensure that it makes explicit reference to the duty of local housing authorities to assess and meet the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers, taking inspiration from the Housing (Wales) Act 2014.

England

Gypsies and Travellers have been perceived to have an unfair focus in the assessment of their accommodation needs, over and above the needs of the wider settled community as legislation makes specific reference to assessing their needs separate to the rest of the community.

The amended duty makes clear that the needs of those persons who reside in or resort to the district with respect to the provision of caravan sites and moorings for houseboats are considered as part of the review of housing needs alongside the settled community, irrespective of their cultural traditions and whether they have ever had a nomadic life.

The purpose of the section is not to change the way local housing authorities assess people's accommodation needs, that is intended to continue as now. The former Minister for Housing and Planning stated at Committee stage that he would consider incorporating any necessary elements of the current statutory guidance into new non-statutory guidance.

20. (§ 134) ECRI strongly recommends that data is collected on the application of the Equality Act 2010, from the filing of a complaint to the final outcome.

The Equality and Human Rights Commission have the duty to monitor the effectiveness of the Act and the power to conduct research in pursuit of their objectives. Decisions as to what work is a priority are a matter for Equality and Human Rights Commission.

The Government has recently ordered Government Departments to identify and publish information showing how outcomes differ for people of different backgrounds. This audit of Government services will highlight the differences in outcomes for people of different backgrounds, across the range of Government activity.

The audit will be led by a new dedicated unit in the Cabinet Office. The first data is expected to be published before the summer of 2017 and will be updated annually to ensure the public can track improvement and better hold services to account.

21. (§ 139) ECRI recommends that the authorities follow the advice of the Law Commission and review the operation of aggravated offences with a view to including the grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity. It also recommends including gender identity as a ground in the "stirring up hatred" offences and refers to its recommendation in § 51 of this report.

England and Wales

The Government continues to keep the law under review. The Law Commission reviewed hate crime legislation to see whether existing offences should be extended to other groups not currently covered by the offences and made recommendations including that there should be a full review of the hate crime framework. The Government made a commitment to a review of hate crime legislation in its manifesto and is considering options.

Scotland (as per Recommendation 2 & 6)

In Scotland, common law assault, common law breach of the peace and threatening and abusive behaviour are important legal tools for dealing with hatred directed against a group of persons or a member of such a group defined by reference to their race, colour, language, religion, descent or national or ethnic origin. The Scottish Courts also have long-standing powers to punish someone more severely for committing a hate crime through common law powers to take

into account aggravating factors when sentencing. We also believe we have strong laws on the statute books to deal with hate crime.

The Scottish Government welcomes the recommendations from the ECRI in its recent report on the United Kingdom. The Scottish Government will consider these recommendations carefully and consider in due course what legislative approaches it will take to respond to these recommendations.

22. (§ 143) ECRI recommends that legislation is enacted in Northern Ireland providing for protection from discrimination on grounds of gender identity.

The relevant Northern Ireland Department has noted and will consider ECRI's recommendation.

23. (§ 149) ECRI encourages further efforts to address bullying of LGBT pupils in schools, with special attention given to teacher training.

England

Homophobic, biphobic and transphobic bullying is completely unacceptable and the UK Government is committed to ending it. The Government has sent a clear message to schools that bullying, for whatever reason is absolutely unacceptable and should not be tolerated in our schools.

All schools are required by law to have a behaviour policy with measures to tackle bullying among pupils. Schools are free to develop their own anti-bullying strategies, including making decisions about appropriate teacher training, but they are held clearly to account for their effectiveness through Ofsted.

In July 2016, the Government announced that a further £2.8 million will be available to fund initiatives to prevent and end homophobic, biphobic and transphobic bullying in schools. The programme will run from September 2016 to 31 March 2019 and will include targeted training for school staff, in order to build their confidence and capacity to prevent and respond to homophobic, biphobic and transphobic bullying and build inclusive school environments.

This builds on the success of the Government's pilot homophobic, biphobic and transphobic Bullying programme, which ran between 2015 and 2016. The evaluation suggested improved school staff confidence to tackle this form of bullying. It found:

- An increase from 25 to 85 percent of participating teachers who agreed or strongly agreed that they had sufficient knowledge of different strategies they could use to address homophobic and biphobic bullying.
- An increase from 40 to 93 percent in the perceived confidence of teachers to address transphobic language.

Scotland

The Scottish Government is committed to refreshing *the National Approach to Anti-Bullying for Scotland's Children and Young People* (which includes bullying based on prejudice) to ensure that it remains current and is in line with the legal framework outlined in the Equalities Act; reflects legislative and policy developments including *Getting It Right For Every Child*, Children and Young People (Scotland) Act 2014; relationships and behaviour and the *respectme* research *Bullying in Schools 2014*. The purpose of the refreshed national approach is to communicate and promote a common vision and aims to make sure that work across all sectors and communities is consistently and coherently contributing to a holistic approach to anti-bullying in Scotland. The refreshed guidance will be published later in 2016.

The refreshed guidance for local authorities, schools and youth organisations, will ensure that bullying of all kinds - including prejudice-based incidents - is recorded accurately and monitored effectively. Better recording of bullying at a local level will help the appropriate body - be it a school or local authority - tailor their policies to local circumstances as well as monitoring and improving the effectiveness of their anti-bullying policies.

This review ties into broader work to foster good relationships and positive behaviour within the learning environment, which underpins Curriculum for Excellence. We also continue to fully fund and support *respectme*, Scotland's anti-bullying service. *respectme* work with local authorities and all those working with children and young people, to build confidence and capacity to address bullying effectively.

The self-evaluation tool '*How Good is Our School 4*' was launched in September 2015 and will come into force for all schools in August 2016. This national self-evaluation framework includes a Safeguarding quality indicator and a specific quality indicator on ensuring wellbeing, equality and inclusion. This will support schools to effectively evaluate their own practice and support self-improvement.

The Deputy First Minister met with LGBT Youth Scotland and Stonewall Scotland on 8th August 2016 to discuss LGBTI inclusive education, and specifically homophobic, biphobic and transphobic bullying.

We will continue to engage with Stonewall Scotland, LGBT Youth Scotland and the Time for Inclusive Education campaign to ensure that schools address the important issues that LGBT young people face; and ensure that teachers have the skills, knowledge and confidence to embed inclusive approaches in their schools. We want all schools to address the issues of homophobic, biphobic and transphobic bullying and promote an inclusive approach to relationships, sexual health and parenthood education.

Teacher training

The Scottish Government has recently committed, in the national delivery plan 'Delivering Excellence and Equity in Scottish Education' to providing teacher training on equality for all new, guidance and promoted teachers, providing funding where necessary. Specifically, the Scottish Government will work with the General Teaching Council for Scotland to provide more support to teachers on equality issues through Career Long Professional Learning to be in place by August 2017.

The Standard for Initial Teacher Education requires that, by the end of their teacher training, student teachers demonstrate the ability to respond appropriately to gender, social, cultural, religious and linguistic differences among pupils.

Wales

In 2011, the Welsh Government published 'Respecting Others', a suite of comprehensive anti-bullying guidance covering five key areas. Two of these areas are dedicated to homophobic bullying; and sexist, sexual and transphobic bullying.

Through the Equality and Inclusion Grant 2014 -17, the Welsh Government funds LGBT rights charity, Stonewall Cymru, to work with schools to address LGBT bullying. Stonewall Cymru deliver training for teachers and governors which includes modules on tackling homophobic bullying and different families to schools across Wales. Participants taking part in their Train the Trainer programme receive a pack of resources including best practice guides and materials relating to their NoBystanders campaign against bullying. They also receive a copy of Stonewall's Primary School DVD resource 'FREE' that will assist teachers in discussing relationships and different families at Key Stage 2.

Stonewall Cymru delivers a campaign to tackle homophobic language in educational settings. They distribute copies of their 'Gay. Get over it' Guides for pupils and the 'Tackling Homophobic Language' guides for teachers and work in partnership with higher education institutions across Wales to improve provision for LGB staff and students with a particular focus on Initial Teacher Training. They also work with Colleges Wales, staff unions and the National Union of Students Wales LGBT Campaign to improve provision for LGB people in further education.

Teacher Training

University-based teacher training courses are validated and delivered in Wales by accredited Initial Teacher Education and Training Providers. Courses are designed to enable trainee teachers to meet the current statutory Qualified Teacher Status Standards at the end of their training programme. As part of these Standards, student teachers must demonstrate that they take account of diversity and have an awareness of equal opportunities. In respect of the latter, student teachers must demonstrate that they can recognise and respond effectively to social inclusion and equal opportunities issues as they arise in the classroom, including by challenging stereotyped views and by challenging bullying or harassment, whilst following relevant policies and procedures.

